L A W-B O O K S lately published.

I. TENANTS Law, or Laws concerning Landlords, Tenants, and Farmers, with all the Modern Cases and Acts of Parliament down to this Time. Price 3s.

2. Game Law, of Hunting, Hawking, Fishing, Fowling, &c. with all the Acts of Parliament down to this

Time. Price 35.

3. A General Abridgment of Cases in Equity, 2 Vols. either Vol. to be had separate.

4. Lilly's Modern Entries.

5. Cases in Equity during the Time of the late Lord Chancellor Talbot.

6. Sir Thomas Raymond's Reports.

- 7. Harrison's Practice of the High Court of Chancery, 2 Vols. Price 12s.
- 8. Jura Ecclesiastica, or the present Practice of the Ecclesiastical Courts, 2 Vols. Price 10 s.
- 9. Rules, Orders and Notices in the Court of King's Bench and Common Pleas; also Reports and Cases of Practice in the Common Pleas, 2 Vols. Price 10s.
- 10. Gilbert's Law of Devises, Revocations, and last Wills. To which are added choice Precedents of Wills. Price 53.
- ----Reports in Equity. Law of Ejectments, Price 5s. ------ Uses and Trusts. Price 5 s. ———Historical View of the Exchequer. Price 3s. 6d. Tenures. Price 5s. Law of Distresses and Replevins. Price 5 s. 11. Hale's Pleas of the Crown, 2 Vols.
- ----History of the Law. Price 5s. 12. Vernon's Reports, 2 Vols.
 - 13. Comberbach's Reports.
 - 14. Saunder's Reports.
 - 15. Baron and Feme. Price 6s.
 - 16. The present Practice of Fines. Price 5 s.
 - 17. The Law of Commons and Commoners. Price 45.
 - 18. New Retorna Brevium. Price 5 s.
 - 19. Bohun's Law of Tithes. Price 6s.
 - 20. Hobart's Reports.
- 21. Hawkins's Abridgment of Coke upon Littleton. Price 5s.
 - 22. Sir Thomas Jones's Reports.
 - 23. Cases in Chancery.

THE Montance

Present PRACTICE

54

OF THE

Court of Common Pleas,

WITH

Large Notes and Observations, from the best Authorities, and the Rules of Court.

In a Method intirely New.

To which are added,

Necessary Precedents, and a complete Table. to the Whole.

By JOSEPH HARRISON, late of Lincoln's Inn, Esq; Author of The Practice of the High Court of Chancery, in Two Volumes.

L O N D O N:

Printed by S. RICHARDSON and C. LINTOT, Law-Printer to the King's most Excellent Majesty; for T. WALLER, opposite Fetter-Lane, Fleet-Street.

M.DCC.LXI.

SUK 991.2 HAR

Rec. Jan. 20, 1882

THE PRESENT

PRACTICE

OFTHE

Court of Common Pleas.

HE court of Common Pleas is the King's Court, now held in Westminster Hall, but in ancient time it was moveable, as appears by Magna Charta, cap. 11. Upon the granting of which Charta by Henry III. the court of common pleas was settled in a place certain, viz. at Westminster, and therefore all the writs issuing out of this court since the granting of the above charter, are made returnable thus: "before our justices "at Westminster," whereas before the party was commanded to appear coram me vel justiciariis meis, without any addition of any place certain.

This court is the lock and key of the common law in common pleas, and therefore called the Court of Common Pleas, for in this court all common or civil pleas, as well real, as personal and mixt, are tried, according to the strict law of the kingdom; and by Fortescue, cap. 50. it seems to have been the only court for real (a) causes. (a) Actions

real are luch

actions whereby the demandant claims title to any lands or tenements, tents or commons, in fee simple, fee tail, or for term of life. Every action real is either possessory, i. e. of his own possession or seisin; or ancestrel, i. e. of the seisin or possession of his ancestor. Co. lib. 6. fel. 3.

Vol. I. ln (a) Actions
perional are
fuch actions
whereby a

In all personal (a) and mixt (b) actions, this court and the court of king's bench have a concurrent jurisdiction.

man claims

debt, or other goods and chattels, or damage for them, or damages for wrong done to his perfon, and is properly that which in the civil law is called Asto in personam, which is brought against him who is bound by covenant or default to give or grant any thing. Terms of the Law, sub tit. Actions (b) A mixt action is a fuit given by the law to recover the thing demanded, and damages for the wrong done; as in aff of novel diff. which writ (if the disseisor make a seoffment to another) the disseise shall have against the disselsor, and the feoffee, or other ter-enant, and thereby shall recover his seisin of the land, and his damages for the mesne profits, and for the wrong done him. And so is an action of quale and quare impedet. But an action of detinue is not called an action mixt, although by it the thing with-held is demanded, and shall be recovered if it may be found, and damages for the with-holding; and if it cannot be found, then damages for the thing and the detaining. But still an action of decimae is called only an action personal, because it should be brought only for goods and chattels or charters.

The jurisdiction of this court is general, ex-

tending itself throughout all England.

All actions (c) in this court are generally (c) Action is grounded upon original writs issuing out of the form of a fait given by Chancery, and returnable in this court, wherethe law to reupon plaintiff proceeds either to arrest desencover a thing; dant, outlaw, or serve him with a copy of the as an action process.----But this court may hold plea on atof debt, &e. tachment of privilege, issuing originally out of or as it is in C:ke 8. f. this court, at the fuit of attornies or other ofitia an ficers of the court intitled to the privilege thereastion is a right of profe of.—Also on original bills (d) against such atcuting to judge termies or other officers intitled to the privilege ment that

which is due unto any one Assis as jus prosequendi in judic, quod aliqui dibetur. (di. The e bills are in nature of petitions to the court, and express either the grievance and wrong which the plaintist has suffered by the defendant, or else some fault by him committed against some law or that the realm.

of the court; also on original bills filed against members of parliament. Vide 12 & 13 W. 3.

cap. 3.—Also on writs of Habeas Corpus cum (a) Certiocausa, or Certiorari (a), removing a cause out of rari is a writ inferior courts of record.—On writs of Re-that lies to recordari facias loquelam (b), Pone (c), Accedas ad move a record curiam (d), or writs of false judgment (e), reinto a superior moving causes out of inferior courts not of inferior court record.

of record,
where the par-

ty supposes he may not have equal justice done him. (b) Commonly called a refalo; taking its name from the first syllable of each word in the name of the writ, viz. Recordari facias loquelam. This writ is to remove a cause out of an inferior court not of record, as a court of ancient demesse; hundred court or county court, into the king's bench or common pleas. See F. N. B. 70. b. (c) Pone is a writ by which a cause depending in the county court is removed into the court of common pleas. (d) This writ lies to remove a cause out of a court bason into the court of common pleas. (e) If a false judgment be given in a court not of record, as in a county court, hundred or court bason, the party injured may have a writ of salse judgment returnable in the common pleas.

The court of common pleas punishes its own officers and ministers, and all other persons guilty of contempts against the rules and orders of the court.

This court consists of a chief justice, called the Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and three puisne judges, who, as well as the lord chief justice, are created by letters patent, (f) and (f) Their parare as it were installed, or placed upon the tents are quambench by the Lord chancellor and Lord chief justice dia se bene of the court, as appears by Fortescue, cop. 51. who expresses all the circumstances of this admission.

The rest of the officers of this court are these:

The custos brevium, who is the chief clerk or principal officer of this court, and holds his B 2

place by grant from the crown, and may exe-

cute his office by a deputy.

Three prothonotaries, who hold their offices for their respective lives, and are admitted by the chief justice for the time being.—Three clerks of the judgments, namely, one belonging to, and appointed by each prothonotary. -The three clerks of the dockets, namely, one belonging to and appointed by each prothonotary.—The three clerks of the reversals, namely, one belonging to and verbally appointed by each prothonotary.—The clerk of the treasury, who holds his place by parol appointment from the lord chief justice.—Three clerks of the jurats, or under clerks of the treasury, are admitted by the lord chief justice for the several counties, cities, and towns in their respective divisions, and hold their places for their respective lives.—A treasury keeper.—Seventeen filazers, who (except the filazer for Monmouth) are appointed for the several counties, cities and towns in their respective divisions, by grants from the chief justice for the time being, to hold their said offices for their natural lives as their freehold.-A clerk of the warrants, inrolments and estreats. This officer is appointed by the lord chief justice -Clerk of the essoins is in the appointment of the lord chief justice, and has usually been granted for life.—Clerk of the juries. This office is in the gift and nomination of the custos brevium of this court.— The return office, and office of involment of writs for fines and recoveries, is in the nomination of the three puisne judges of the court, by virtue of a statute made 23 of Eliz.—Clerk of the king's silver; this officer is appointed by the

the lord chief justice of this court.—A chirographer; this officer holds his place by letters patent from the crown: he appoints a secondary to officiate in the said office; there is a register and record-keeper belonging to the said office, and the chirographer appoints certain clerks for the several counties in England.— Exigenter; quære, by whom appointed.—Clerk of the supersedeas to the exigent. The present clerk is Mr. Robert Morris, who was admitted into the office by grant or deputation from his father Mr. Henry Morris, to hold to him and his heirs for ever.—Clerk of the outlawries is incident to the office of his Majesty's attorney general, and always executed by some person appointed by the attorney general for the time being.—The several offices of the prothonotary, fecondary, clerk of the judgments, clerk of the dockets, exigenter, clerk of the juries, filazer, and clerk of the reversals for the county of Monmouth, were by King William III. by letters patent under the great seal, granted to Francis Gwynn, Esq; who, or his representative, executes the same by deputy.—Seal office; the most noble Duke of Cleveland is seised in feetail of this office, which he executes by deputy. —Clerk of the errors; this officer is nominated by the lord chief justice for the time being, usually verbally, to hold during the pleasure of the chief justice.—Judges clerks.—The office of affociate at nisi prius in London and Middlesex, is in the appointment of the lord chief justice. The office of affociate for London and Middlesex hath been generally granted by parol, to hold during pleasure only.—The office of marshal at nisi prius in London and Middlesex, is in the appointment of the lord chief justice, and and has been time immemorial granted by parol appointment, to hold, during the pleasure of the lord chief justice.—Cryer at nist prius in London and Middlesex; this office is in the gist of the lord chief justice for the time being, and hath been usually granted by parol appointment, to hold during pleasure. — Chief proclamator, Heneage Walker, Esq; hereditary proclamator of this court, granted to John Walker, Esq; the office of mareschal proclamator and barrier of this court, with all fees, &c. to hold to him and his heirs for ever .- There are four persons who act as cryers of the court, one of which is also court-keeper, and another porter of the court, which cryers, court-keeper, and porter, are deputies to the chief proclamator. The cryers, and court-keeper, and porter of the court are appointed by deputation from the chief proclamator.—Warden of the Fleet; he is appointed by letters patent to hold during pleasure.—Clerk of the papers and rules of the Fleet prison; this officer holds his place by grant or appointment of the warden of the Fleet.—There are two tipstaffs attendant on this court, who are admitted by deputation from the warden of the Fleet.—Commissioners for taking affidavits concerning matters in this court. These commissioners are appointed by the judges of this court, or any two of them, whereof the chief justice is to be one. Stat. 29 Car. 2. chep. 5. s. Commissioners are also appointed in the same manner for taking bails in any suit in this court. Vide 4 W. & M. chap. 4.

Observations on the Returns of Writs.

LL writs issuing out of the common pleas, grounded upon original writs out of chancery, must be made returnable on general return days, as on the morrow of the Holy Trinity; but writs of attachment, of privilege and writs subsequent thereto, and writs grounded on bills filed against attornies, and such officers of the court as are intitled to the privilege thereof, or members of the house of commons, writs of bebeas corpus, prohibitions, &c. must be made returnable on, and have continuance to a day certain in full term, viz. as on Friday next after the morrow of the Holy Trinity. But care must be taken that they be not made returnable on any of the following days, which are dies non juridici, viz. the feast of the purification in Hilary term, ascension day in Easter, and the feast of St. John the baptist, if it happen in Trinity term, unless it be the first day of that term.

There must be at least sisteen days between the teste and return of all original writs returnable in this court, and between the teste and return of all ordinary writs sued and procured upon the same, except where altered by the

following acts of parliament.

An attachment of privilege, at the fuit of an attorney, must also have litteen days between the teste and return.

In all actions of debt, and other personal actions, actions of ejectione firme for lands or tenements, after issue joined to be tried by a jury, and after any judgment had or obtained, there shall not need to be fifteen days between

B 4

the teste and return of any writ of venire facias, babeas corpora juratorum, or distringas juratores, writ of seri facias, or writ of capias ad satisfaciendum, and the want thereof shall not be assigned for error; but not to extend to any writ of capias ad satisfaciendum, whereon an exigent after judgment is to be awarded, or to a capias ad satisfaciendum against the defendant, to make the bail liable. Stat. 13 Car. 2. c. 2. s. s. 6, 7.

(a) Process
are the writs
and precess
that go forth
upon the original. Vide
Terms of the
law, tit. Pra-

Process. (a)

Of suing out process.

CAPIAS ad respondendum.] Make a præcipe, which you must suit to the nature of your action, according to the following forms.

(A) A præcipe for a common capias in trespass, where bail is not required.

Middlesex. APIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of the parish of in the county of Middlesex, Gent. broke the close at Westminster.

G. K. (or G. K. agent for F.) 1 Dec. 1757.

[Vide p. (A) for the form of the capias on the above præcipe.]

Præcipe?s

Præcipe's where bail is required.

A præcipe in debt.

Middlesex. Command A. B. late of Westmin-ster in your county, Esq; (a) On a otherwise called A. B. of Westminster in the latin bond the county of Middlesex, Esq; (a) that he render alias dist (if to C. D. 2001. which he owes him, and un-inserted) must be in Latin, justly detains. thus: Com-

E. F. (the attorney) — Dec. 175 — affidavit for 100%.

Ret. &c. mand John Doe, late of, &c. otherwise called Johannem Doe,

de, &c. (as in the bond) generosum, or the declaration will be bad; and the plaintiff upon the trial will be nonsuited. But there is no occasion to put in the al' dist, it being merely a description of the bond. T. 6 & 7 Geo. 2. Church y. Jason, Bart. Pract' Reg. in C. P 322. Rep and Cas. of Prast' in C. P. 91. S. C. If an al' dist' be inserted in a declaration upon a specialty, it must agree literally with the deed, or the declaration will be bad, even on non est factum. Ibid. 1 Barnes's Notes 162. S. C. and per cur' an al' dict', if set out at all, must be set out in the same language as in the deed, or will otherwise be erroneous. Ibid .-- Al' diet' may be and is better lest out; so adjudged in this court in Lord Chief Justice Eyre's time, in an action upon a bail bond. Darby v. Minshull.

[Vide p. (B) For the capies on the above præcipe.]

Præcipe in debt against two defendants.

Middlesex. Ommand A. B. late of in your county, taylor, that he render to C. D. 301. which he owes him, and unjustly detains.

Com₂

Ommand E. F. late of, C. that he render to the faid C. D. 56 L which he owes him, and unjustly detains.

 $S. \mathcal{T}.$

Ret. &c.

— Jan. 175—.

Affidavit against $\begin{cases} A. B. & \text{for } 30 l. \\ C. D & \text{for } 56 l. \end{cases}$

On all præcipes's quod reddat, if the sum exceed 40 l. a fine is payable to the king in the following proportions:

I. s. d.
From 40 pounds to 100 marks, 0 6 8
From 100 marks to 100 pounds, 0 10 0
From 100 pounds to 200 marks, 0 13 4
From 133l. 6s. 8d. to 166l. 13s.
4d. 0 16 0
From 166l. 13s. 4d. to 200l 1 0 0
And fo consequently for every 100
marks more, 0 6 8
And for every 100l. more 0 10

If you would avoid the fine, make out a præcipe for a capias in trespass, with an acetiam in debt, thus:

(C) Middlesex. APIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of the parish of St. Martin in the Fields in your county, brewer. Broke the close at Westminster, and also in a certain plea of debt upon demand for 80 l.

 \mathcal{J} . S. by S. T.

Ret. &c.

— Dec. 175 -. Affidavit for 40 l.

[Vide p. (C) for the form of the capias on the above præcipe.]

(D) Præcipe

(D) Præcipe in trespass, with an acetiam in case upon promise.

Middlesex. A PIAS for A. B. against C.D. late of the parish of St. James in the liberty of Westminster in your county, Spinster. Broke the close at Westminster; and also in case upon promise for 60 l.

 $\mathcal{J}. \mathcal{T}.$ Ret. \mathcal{C}_{ι} .

- June, 175-. Affidavit for 301.

[Vide p. (D) for the form of the capias on the above præcipe.]

Præcipe in case against two defendants.

London. APIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of London, mercer, and E. F. late of London, Esq; trespass; and also against the said C. for 100l. upon promise, and also against the said E. for 40l. upon promise.

• Ret. &c.

A præcipe in assault.

Lincoln. A PIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of in your county, taylor, in a plea of trespass and assault.

Ret. &c.

If you would have bail upon a dangerous affault and battery, an affidavit must be made of the fact at large, whereupon a judge will make an order for holding defendant to bail in such sum as on the circumstances of the case he shall think reasonable, and then you make

The present Practice of the

out a præcipe for a common capias with an acetiam.

London. APIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of London, surgeon. Broke the close at London; and also in trespass and assault, to the damage of the said A. 200 l.

 $\mathcal{J}. D.$ Ret. $\mathcal{C}c.$

— July 175—.

Bail by order, on affidavit for 100 l.

Præcipe in covenant.

Middlesex. APIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of Westminster in your county, gentleman, otherwise called (as in the indenture) in a plea that he perform to the said A. the covenant made between them, according to the force, form and effect of a certain indenture made between them.

Ret. &c.

A præcipe in account as receiver.

Middlesex. APIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of, &c. that he render to the said A. his reasonable account for the time in which he was receiver of the money of the said A. &c.

Ret.' &c.

As bailiff.

If as bailiff and receiver.

HEN for the time he was his bailiff in H, and receiver of the money of the said A. Cc.

A præcipe in annuity..

Ret. &c.

Carry the præcipe to the proper filazer, and also the affidavit of the debt, if the action be bailable (a). Affidavit of the debt may be (a) If the cause of acmade before any judge of the court or commistion amounts sioner, or before the officer who issues the writ, to 10 % or upor his deputy (b). Pay for oath 1 s. Vide wards, affidavit must be

made and filed of the cause of action. Stat. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. 21 Geo. 2. c. 3. Notwithstanding plaintiff makes an affidavit of his debt or other cause of action, yet the matter of bail is examinable by the court. Affidavit made of the debt, but by mistake not filed, desendant arrested, costs ordered to be paid by plaintiff, but attachment against him denied; defendant consented not to bring any action. Hil. 9 Geo. 2. Ware v. Racket, Rep. & Ca. of Prast. in C. P. 125. (b) Affidavit to hold to bail, or of service of process, where only a common appearance is required, may be sworn before plaintiff's attorney, being a commissioner. Rule made E. 13 Geo. 2. Tho' by the general rule and practice of this court, affidavits taken before artornies (as commissioners) wherein they were concerned for the parties in whose behalf such affidavits were made, have been deemed insussicient.-Objected for the plaintiff, that the affidavits ex parte defendentis, were sworn besore J. C. and A. F. as commissioners, who were at that time sworn to be clerks or agents to Rash, defendant's attorney. Per cur. The general rule extends only to attorneys themselves; those commissioners are not sworn to be agents in this case. Objection over ruled. It was said, but not sworn, that they were menial servants, which the court seemed to think would have been a sufficient objection, E. 20 Geo. 2. Cocksedge, one, &c. v. Richzvood. 2 Barnes's Notes 37.

Stat:

Stat. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. 21 Geo. 2. c. 3. The filazer will make out the capias, pay him (if he finds stamps) 4 s. 2 d. viz. for the eriginal on which the capias is grounded is. filing the same 4d capias 10 d. duty 2s. pay at the seal office 7 d.—You may put four defendants in one writ, but there must be but one plaintiff unless it be a joint action.

(a) Vide p. 9.

£.

If the action is bailable, you insert a proper acetiam (a) in the writ.—The sum sworn to must be indorsed thereon. Stat. 12 Geo. 1. cap. 29. 5 Geo. 2. cap. 27. 21 Geo. 2. cap. 3.

The attorney's name who fues out the writ, if bailable, must also be subscribed or indorsed on the writ, and if not bailable, then his name must be subscribed or indorsed on the copy. Stat. 2 Geo. 2. c. 23. s. Vide 12 Geo. 2. c. 13. s. +.—The day and year, when a writ to arrest the body was sued out, must also be set down on such writ. Vide Stat. 5 & 6 W. & M.

c. 21. $\int_{0.7}^{0.7} 3.$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_{10}W.$ 3. c. 25. $\int_{0.7}^{0.7} 42.$

If the defendant cannot be arrested on, or served with a copy of the first capias, as the case shall be, and you don't propose to outlaw; him, you fue out a capias by continuance; pay the filazer 2s. 10d. viz. for capias by cont' 10d. duty 2 s. and for every peft term. 4 d. fealing 7 d. and after that another capias by cont', &c.-Note; the præcipe for the ca. by cont', is the same as for the first capias, only instead? of saying, "Capias for A. B. &c." you say, "Capias by cent', for A. B. &c." but the writ itself is exactly the same as the first capias, without any distinction of alias or pluries, as in the king's bench.

NOTES.

NOTES.

bail, you sue out a common clausum fregit, and take out a capias thereon, and plaintiss may in such case declare in any county, or for any cause of action, as the cause shall require. Vide Rep. of Ca. of Pract. in C. P. 75.—But if the defendant lives within the Cinque Ports, you must sue out and arrest defendant upon, or serve him with a copy (as the case shall be) of a Test. Ca. directed to the constable of Dover Castle; for the sheriss of Kent has no jurisdiction within the Cinque Ports. 2 Barnes's Notes 341.

2. Capias ad respond' ret' tres Mich. Teste July 14, the 9th instead of the 10th of the king irregular, and proceedings staid, no cause being shewn. M. 10 Geo. 2. Taylor v. Nichols,

I Barnes's Notes 299.

3. Notice on process to be for the Essoin-day tho' Sunday, that being the real return day. Vide Ca. of Pract' C. P. 105. Vide also the rule of court, Hil. 7 Geo. 2.

4. Motion to stay proceedings against an heir, alledging that an heir ought to be proceeded against by summons, and could not be arrested upon a clausum fregit. The three prothonotaries declared, that formerly there was no other way of proceeding against an heir but by summons, &c. but that of late years the practice had been otherwise, and that an heir might be arrested on a clausum fregit; and so held by the whole court, and that he need not be named in the writ as heir. Hil. 12 Ann.

Anon.

Anen. Rep. and Cases of Practice in C. P. 3.

- 5. A capias ad respondendum was quashed, because tested out of term, and therefore void. E. 7 Geo. 2. Bennet v. Sampson, Prast. Reg. C. P. 436. I Barnes's Notes 295. S. C. Desendant had no other remedy to take advantage thereof but by motion, because he can't have eyer of the writ, nor will it appear upon the record in case of a writ of error. Ibid.
- 6. Motion that the writ of capias ad respondendum might be quashed, because there were not fifteen days between the teste and return of the writ. Rule to shew cause discharged; for per cur' this is matter of error, and not irregularity. T. 10 Geo. 2. Williams v. Faulkner, Prast. Reg. C. P. 437. 1 Barnes's Notes 298. S. C. Vide Pract. Reg. C. P. 438. Hil. 11 Geo. 2. Wass v. Cornet, like motion; cur' advisar' vult; but before the court gave any opinion, plaintiff moved that the writ might be quashed, in order to have the benefit of the next term. Note; defendant had not appeared, nor had plaintiff for him. 1 Barnes's Notes 73. S. C. Note; the rule was to shew cause why proceedings should not be stayed; but per cur' it should have been to shew cause why the writ should not have been quashed. The defendant cannot have oyer of the capias, and therefore cannot take any advantage by pleading. Per cur'. Ibid.
 - 7. But cur' quashed an attachment of privilege for want of fifteen days between the teste and return, they considering it in nature of an original writ. T. 11 & 12 Geo. 2. Hayward an attorney v. Denison. 1 Barnes's Notes 301. Q. Whether this might not have been taken

by writ of error. *Ibid.* This quere seems to be Mr. Barnes's. Prast. Reg. in C. P. 438. S. C.

8. The capias ad respond. was made returnable before the king's justice, instead of justices, at Westminster; and there were six days only instead of sisteen between the teste and return. Proceedings staid with costs. T. 22 & 23 Geo. 2. Holt, jun. v. Hawkins. 2 Barnes's

Notes 338.

9. Defendants were sued in their corporate capacity by common capias ad respondendum, upon affidavit of service, plaintiss entered an appearance secundum stat. and entered declaration in the office, reciting that defendants were attached to answer, (which cannot be); motion to set aside the ca. &c. objecting that defendants ought to have been sued by pone, and distringus. Per cur': Defendants being sued in a corporate capacity, the capias is null and void. It was agreed, that had defendants themselves appeared, the objection had been waved. Hil. 15 Geo. 2. Langley v. the Bailiss and Burgesses of East Redford, 2 Barnes's Notes 328.

10. Date of the writ omitted, penalty for the omission 10 l. on the officer, per Stat. W. 3.

proceedings staid. T. 21 & 22 Geo. 2.

The forms of capias's on the præcipes A. B. C. and D. Page

The form of a common capias in trespass on the præcipe, Page (A).

(A) EORGE, &c. To the sherish of Middlesex, greeting. We command you, that you take C. D. late of the parish of in your county, Gent. if he shall be found in your bailiwic, and keep him safely, so that you may have his body before our justices at Westminster, on &c. (return) to answer A. B. in a plea wherefore with force and arms he broke the close of the said A. at Westminster, and did other injuries to him, to his great damage, and against our peace; and have there this writ. Witness, Sir John Willes, Knight, at Westminster, the — day of — in the — year of our reign.

Under this writ the notice.

Mr. C. D. you are served with, &c.

The form of the capias on the præcipe in debt. Page (B)

(B) EORGE the Second, &c. To the sherilf of Middlefex, greeting. We command you, that you take A.B. late of Westminster, in your county, Gent. otherwise called, &c. if he shall be found in your bailiwic, and keep him safely, so that you may have his body before our justices at Westminster, on, &c. to answer C.D. of a plea that he rendered to

Court of Common Pleas.

the said C.D. 200 l. which he owes him, and unjustly detains, as it is said; and have there this writ. Witness, \mathcal{C}_c .

Indorse

E. F. (the attorney)

-- Dec. 175-.

Affidavit for 100%.

The form of the capias upon a præcipe in trefpass, with an acetiam in debt. Page (C).

The form of the capias upon a præcipe in trespass, with an acetiam in case upon promise. (Vide page (D)

EORGE the Second, &c. To the I sheriff of Middlesex, greeting. We command you that you take, &c. (as before); and also that the said C.D. may answer the said A.R.

A. B. according to the custom of our court of the bench in a certain plea of trespass on the case, upon promise, to the damage of the said A. 60 l. and have there this writ. Witness, &c.

J. T.

— June, 175—.

Affidavit for 30 l.

Of fuing out a Test. capias ad respondendum.] If the action is bailable, and the defendant lives not in the county wherein you intend to try the cause, you must make out a test. into the county where defendant lives, in which cases only a test. capias is necessary, unless defendant lives within the Cinque Ports; for in such case the the action is not bailable, yet you must serve him with a copy of a test. capias directed to the constable of Dover castle. Vide p. 22. note 4.

The test capies must always be made out by the silazer of the county where plaintiss intends to try the cause; as suppose the defendant lives in Kent, and plaintiss would try the cause in London, then you make out a præcipe in the following form on one piece of paper.

London. APIAS for A. B. against C. D. late of — in the county of Kent, oilman. Broke the close at London.

Ret. on the Ottave of St. Hilary.

Kapt. EST' capias, and also for 100 l. upon promise.

Affidavit for 50 l. E. F. — Dec. 1757.

Ret. on the Ostave of the Purification. (Note;

(Note; The above præcipe will serve for a test. non omittas, only instead of saying test. capias, and also, &c. you say non omittas test. and also, &c.)

Take the above pracipe to the filazer for London, pay him for test. capias (if no capias has been assually sued out before) 7s. pay at the Seal-office for sealing the test. capias 1s. 2d.

But if you make out a capies, and before it is executed the defendant goes out of the county into which it was directed, then you make out a test. by continuance into the county where he is gone; pay the filazer for test. by continuance 4s. 10 d. sealing 7d.—Note; in the præcipe you say test. capies by continuance, &c.

NOTES.

1. Defendant must file special bail with the filazer of that county into which the original capias was directed, and who must make out the testatum, which we will suppose (as above) to be the filazer for London, and not with the filazer for the county where he was arrested, viz. Kent; for if he does, the plaintist may nevertheless proceed on the bail bond. Vide Rep. and Cas. of Prast. 44.

2. And in order to find out the proper filazer, defendant must apply to the under sheriff of the county where he was arrested, for an extract or copy of the writ. You generally pay for the extract or copy 6 d.

3. The plaintiff must declare in the county from whence the test. capsas issued, and where

3 special

special bail is filed, otherwise the bail will be

discharged.

A. Rule absolute to stay proceedings on process directed to the sheriff of Kent, served at Hastings within the Cinque Ports, without costs. The sheriff of Kent has no jurisdiction within the Cinque Ports; the writ should have been a test. capias, directed to the constable of Dover castle. Hil. 24 G. 2. Box v. Culmer, 2 Barnes's

Notes 3-11.

5. Currer, the father, plaintiff's attorney, in favour of his ion, Currer jun. filazer of Suffolk, and in prejudice of the filazer of the county of Kent, tho' plaintiff and defendant both dwelt in Kent, where the cause of action arose, and had never any dealings together in Suffolk, sued out a test. capias from Suffolk into Kent, out of his fon's office, in the name of Mulliner, an attorney, instead of a capies into Keut from the proper filazer. Cur' held this to be unwarrantable and irregular, and set aside the proceedings, with costs to be paid by Currer, sen. to both parties; C. Valentine, a bailiff, complained of by defendant, denied the charge; and as to him, the rule to shew cause why an attachment, &c was discharged. Skinner and Poole for plaintiff and the two Currers; Prime and Draper for defendant; Wynne for C. Valentine the bailiff. E. 21 Geo. 2. Valentine v. Hawkins, 2 Barnes's Notes 336.

The form of a test. capias ad respondendum.

GEORGE the Second, &c. To the sheriff of Kent, (a) greeting. We com
(a) If the demand you that you take C. D. late of ——

(a) If the demand ives in your county, oilman, if he shall be found within the in your bailiwic, and keep him safely, so that Cinque Ports you may have his body before our justices at then the test.

Westminster, on ——— to answer A. B. of a ted "To the plea wherefore with force and arms he broke "constable of the close of the said A. at London, and did "our castle of other injuries to him, to the great damage of "Dover, or the said A. and against our peace. And also "there, greetthat the said C. answer the said A. according to "ing;" and the custom of our court of the bench, in a cer-if the action tain plea of trespass upon the case on promise, is not bailable to the damage of the said A. of 100 l. and defendant whereupon our sheriffs of London returned to within the our said justices at Westminster at a certain day Cinque Ports now past, that the said C. was not found in with a copy their bailiwic; whereas it is testified in our said of the testatum court that the said C. doth lie hid and run the acetiam,) from place to place in your county; and have under which test. must be there this writ. Witness, &c.

Of suing out a non omittas capias ad respondendum, and non omittas test.] If defendant lives, or is to be found in a liberty into which the Sheriff cannot enter, you must sue out a non omittas. Make a pracipe, carry it to the proper filazer, pay him 8 s. 6 d. sealing 1 s. 2 d.— For a non omittas test. you pay to filazer 11 s. 10 d. sealing 1 s. 9 d.

NOTES.

glish notice for desendant to appear, &c. as in other cases.

NOTES.

respondendum, for that it recited a mandate to have been issued by the sheriff to the bailist of a liberty, without naming what liberty, but leaving a blank for it. Objection held good per cur', and that the proper way to take advantage of the defect is by motion; but bail having been put in before a judge, the objection comes too late. M. 17 Geo. 2. Mallom v. Gent, 2 Barnes's Notes 332.

2. By a writ of non omittas out of the court of King's Bench, the sheriff may enter any liberty, for the writ is, Qued non omittas propter aliquem libertatem in com. tuo quin capias, &c.

5 Co. 92.

Attachment of privilege.] Vide Proceedings by attornies, p.

The form of a non omittas capias ad respondendum.

C. and against our peace; and also that the Acetiam. said A. may answer the said C. according to the custom of our court of the bench in a certain plea of debt upon demand for 30 l. and where-upon you returned to our justices at Westminster at a certain day now past, that the bailist of the asoresaid liberty, whom you commanded by virtue of our said writ to you thereupon directed, to take the said A. gave you no answer thereto; and have there, &c.

Of serving copy of process.] When an action does not require bail, defendant is to be personally served with a copy of the process, and upon every copy of such process shall be written an English notice of the intent and Meaning of such service;—5 s. is allowed for making and serving a copy of the process, and no see for the notice. Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. Note; this act was made perpetual by Stat. 21 Geo. 2. c. 3.

In particular franchises and jurisdictions, the proper officer there shall execute such process. Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. Vide p. 27. note 7.

NOTES.

1. Process against baron and seme, baron only served, plaintist appears for both, held good service. E. 8 Geo. 2. Juncomb v. Love & ux. Prast. Reg. in C.P. 351. 1 Barnes's Notes 293. Buncombe v. Love, &c. S. C. Service on the baron only is good in lieu of arrest. Ibid. It is not necessary to serve the wise; on serving the husband, plaintist may appear for both secundum statutum, E. 12 Geo. 2. Collins v. Shapland & ux.

Ed ux. Pract. Reg. in C. P. 351.—1 Barnes's

Notes 303. S. C.

2. Process against two executors, both must be served before you can proceed, or you may proceed to outlaw the executor that cannot be served. T. 8 & 9 Geo. 2. Worley v. Bull and another executors, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 351.

- 3. Defendant was served with a copy of the original, plaintiff appeared secundum statutum, and delivered declaration. Cur' held this a bad fervice, and staid proceedings. M. 11 Geo. 2. Peter v. Remer, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 342.—Ibid. same page. M. 13 Geo. 1. Smith v. Anderton, S. P. defendant should have been served with copy of the capias, and not the original; for per cur' defendant should have been served with a copy of such process, as the sheriff, before the making of the late act (12 Geo. 1.) could have arrested the defendant upon. Judgment set aside. Ibid.——Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 31. Smith v. Anderton, S. C. says, a copy of a special original was served on defendant, and that the plaintiff proceeded thereon according to Stet. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. but that all was set aside by the court, for a copy of the capias should have been ferved.
- 4. On a test. unto Durham, the Defendant must be served with a copy of the bishop's mandate to the sheriff, and not with a copy of the test. for per cur' the process served upon the defendant must be such process as he could have been arrested upon, if the Stat. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. had not been made, and that the Act had not altered the law in that particular, and therefore defendant should have been served with the bishop's mandate. M. 1 Geo. 2. Beach v. Smith, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 343.—Rep. and Cas.

Court of Common Pleas.

of Prast. in C. P. 38. S. C.—Held otherwise in the following case.

5. Test. capias into Lancashire, a county palatine; defendant is not to be served with a copy of the chancellor's mandate, for per cur's since the Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. process to be ferved is the process out of the superior court; and so it has been determined in B. R. The case of Beach v. Smith in this court, was before the said Stat. 5 Geo. T. 8 & 9 G. 2. Byers v. Whitaker, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 344.—Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 119. S.C. 1 Barnes's Notes 293. S. C. Cur' held, that the test. capias is the process to be served, and not the chancellor's mandate, upon reading the Stat. 5 Geo. 2. which is explanatory of Stat. 12 Geo. 1. By the last act the affidavit of the service of process is to be Iworn before a judge of the court from whence process issued, or a commissioner appointed by fuch court, which must be intended of the courts of Westminster, none other can appoint fuch commissioner. Before the 5 Geo. 2. this court was of opinion that the process to be ferved must be the process whereby defendant might have been arrested before the act 12 Geo. 1. Beach v. Smith, M. 1 Geo. 2. But fince the Stat. 5 Geo. 2. to explain 12 Geo. 1. the court of King's Bench and this court have held that the first process must be served. Ibid.

6. Process not served by the sheriff's officer, but by the attorney or his clerk, good service within the meaning of the act. Hil. 13 Geo. 1. Delasield & al' v. Jones, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 345.

7. Defendant was served with a copy of process directed to the sheriff of Suffolk, within the liberty of Bury St. Edmunds, and held good.

Hil.

Hil. 7 Geo. 2. Hall v. Whilby, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 345. Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 96. Hall v. Bilby, S. C. Tho' the Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. says, "that in particular franchises and jurisdictions the proper officer shall execute the process;" yet the court held, that tho' the process was not served by the proper officer in this case, yet it was well served, for the statute does not make such service of process void, nor would an execution executed in such franchise, tho' not by the proper officer, be void; but the statute only intends to save the right to such officers, who may, if they are injured, take fuch remedy as they shall be advised, but such service is no ways impeached by the statute. Ibid.—1 Barnes's Notes 290. S. C. The act only preserves and saves the jurisdiction of particular liberties, the person injured must bring his action, the court cannot stay proceedings. Ibid.

S. Notice for defendant to appear on process must be for the essoin-day of the return, for per tot. cur' the Stat. 12 Geo. 1. gives four days for defendant to enter his appearance, before plaintiff can for him; and the constant practice upon that statute hath been to compute the four days from the essoin-day. The statute of 5 Geo. 2. adds four days more, in all eight days; if the notice to appear be for the appearance day, and defendant has eight days from thence to appear, he will have twelve, which the statute never intended. T. 6 & 7 Geo. 2. Alsop v. Bagot, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 346. Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 92. S. C. Resolved per cur', that on the copy of the process which is served on the defendant, notice should be given to appear on the effoin-day, and not on the appearance day.—Notice should be for the essoin-day, tho' a Sunday. Hil. 7 Geo. 2. Jenner v. Oatridge, Pratt.

Prast. Reg. in C. P. 346. Same term, Green v. Watkins, S. P. Cur' on confideration, and advising with the prothonotaries, held notice for Monday wrong where the essoin-day was on the Sunday. Ibid. 347—Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. S.C. The notice ought to be given to appear on the effoin-day, whether Sunday or not, the Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. expressly directing the same—Jenner v. Williamson, Hil. 7 Geo. 2. S. P. Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 97. Notice to appear on Sunday, being the effoin or return day, held good notice per cur', E. 7 Geo. 2. Lloyd v. Beeston, Rep. and Cass. of Prast. in C. P. 100.—In notices to appear to be served upon defendants, pursuant to Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. the day of the return of such process must be inserted, altho' it appears to be upon a Sunday. Rules and Orders in C. P. Hil. 7 Geo. 2.

9. Capias tested 22d of August, returnable tres Mich. was served in September, with notice under copy to appear on the 20th of October, without saying next. Notice bad, proceedings set aside. M. 12 Geo. 2. White v. Weshington,

Pract. Reg. in C. P. 347.

nay apply at any time before judgment (a), (a) Interlocuper cur'. Ibid.—Defendant need not apply to tory. Itay proceedings for any fault in the service of the process, until notice be given of the declaration being left in the office, &c. Per cur' in Jemmet v. Voyer, ibid. 355—If defendant applies to the court after notice of the declaration, and before interlocutory judgment signed, it is time enough. Ibid. For, until serving of the notice of the declaration, defendant cannot tell whether plaintiss will proceed or no. Rep. and Cas of Pratt. in C. P. 105. in S. C.

plaintiff's entring an appearance, which has always been looked upon to be as effectual for that purpose, as if the desendant had entered the appearance; per cur', sed vide p.—But it will be too late to apply after interlocutory judgment is signed; per cur'. Hil. 8 Geo. 2. in Chalken V Janson, Rep and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 115.—After judgment signed it is too late to complain of irregularity of process. 2 Barnes's Notes 211.

12. In the process served upon the defendant he was named Claypham, but in the notice under process he was named Cliffham. Cur': The name in the notice ought to follow the name in the writ. Proceedings staid. E. 8 Geo. 2 Simpson v. Claypham, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 348.

13. Notice to appear must be given on service of process, tho' the writ be special, and debt above 10 l. M. 11 Geo. 2. Atwood v. Meredith, executor in case. Pract. Reg. in C. P.

349.

14. Process served at six o'clock in the afternoon of the day of the return. Insisted that the court sat long on the day of the return; per cur' the process is well served, there is no fraction of a day. E. 1 Geo. 2. Mathews v. Partridge, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 358.—Process served at seven o'clock at night on the day of the return, defendant moved to stay proceedings, and would have it presumed that the court was risen when the process was served, and consequently not good service Cur': He that moves to have proceedings stayed for irregularity, must make the irregularity compleatly appear. If you had ascertained the time of the rising of the court, and it had appeared that the process was served after

after the court was risen; we would have considered further. No rule. Hil. 5 Geo. 2. Hayne v. Cane, MS. Rep.-Prast. Reg. in C. P. 352. S. C.—Process served after the rising of the court on the return-day is irregular; per cur. Vide Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 52.—Process served on the return-day at ----, at five o'clock in the afternoon, with notice to appear that day, which was the return-day, (20th of Fanuary), on which day the proclamation for the essoins had been made, and the judge was gone out of court before noon, so the return was expired. Proceedings stayed; Cur' declaring that defendant ought to have a reasonable time to appear after service, which is the plain intention of the statute directing the notice, and that the notice ought to be served before return-day. Hil. 16 Geo. 2. Foot v. Hume 2 Barnes's Notes 330. Hil. 29 Geo. 2. Ashley the younger v. Mackarly and another. Proceedings staid; because the copy of the process was served on the return-day at three o'clock in the afternoon. Supplement to vol. 2. Barnes's Notes, p 53.

of service of the copy, per cur'. (Vide the acts to prevent vexatious arrests, 12 Geo. 1. and 5 Geo. 2.) Hil. 12 Geo. 2. Panchard v. Woolley, 1 Barnes's Notes 222.—Pract. Reg. in C. P. 385.

S. C.

16. Process against several defendants, in the copy served upon the defendant Standish, the other defendants were not named. Cur': This is wrong; a compleat copy of the whole process must be served. T. 7 & 8 Geo. 2. Cutliffe an attorney v. Standish, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 354.

— I Barnes's Notes 292. S. C. says the affidavit of service of process was as follows, viz. "that "depo-

"deponent served defendant with a copy of the writ, &c. at the plaintiff's suit, except what related to other defendants." Held

not sufficient and proceedings staid.

17. Defendant skulked and concealed himself, and was in a public office with the doors locked; the plaintiff's attorney saw him thro' the key-hole of the door, and thereupon told him a-loud that he had a copy of a writ for him, put the copy thro' the crevice of the door, and told him what the paper was. Cur': This good service. T. 758 Geo. 2. Smith v. Wintle, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 354.——1 Barnes's Notes 292. S. C.

- 18. Process served without any notice to appear, &c. void, and proceedings staid. E. 7 Geo. 2. Cort v. Turner, Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 100.
- 19. Process served by a bailist that could neither read nor write, not good; for per cur', The statute 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. never intended that process should be served by illiterate persons, because it directs, "that affidavit should be "made of the service of the process." E. 13 Geo. 1. Delasield v. Jones, Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 34.
- 20. The attorney's name was not put to the copy of the process served, as the act 2 Geo. 2. c. 23. f. 22. requires. Per cur': The act does not concern the parties so as to make the process void, but only the attorney who sued it out, who might be censured for not pursuing the directions of the act. Motion to stay proceedings denied. T. 7 & 8 Geo. 2. Blackball v. Gould, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 102.——Pract. Reg. in C. P. 441. S. C. and adds that it was so determined in this court. T. 5 Geo. 2. Warner

Warner v. Reeve.—I Barnes's Notes 296. T. 7 & Géo. 2: Blackhall v. Gould. Motion to stay proceedings, because no attorney's name fet to the writ, denied. Cites Warnell v. Rewell and Fowkes v. Jay, T. 5. Perkin v. Baker; Hil. 5 Geo 2.— I Barnes's Notes 295. Hil. 9 Geo. 2. Anon. Motion to stay proceedings, no attorney's name being fet to the copy of the process served upon defendant, but denied, plaintiff is not in the fault but the attorney.—But afterwards in T. 16 Geo. 2. Chapman v. Ryall and others. Motion to stay proceedings, no attorney's name being set upon the copy served on A. one of the defendants; as required per Stat. 12 Geo. and proceedings were staid; for per cur' the statute is compulsory, and for defects in notices to appear subscribed to copies of process served, nothing is more frequent than to stay the proceedings; and where the defect is in the copy of the process, the reason is the same. Tho' the writ itself be right, yet the copy ferved is defective, and proceedings must be stayed.—There is nothing in Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 22. s. 5.—Stat. 12 Geo. 2. c. 23. s. 22. or any other subsequent statute, whereby the Stat. 12 Geo. is altered or repealed in this particular. 2 Barnes's Notes 329.

21. Process was served June 16, dated 26, held to be irregular, and proceedings staid. T. 10 Geo. 2. Humphreys v. Mitchell, 1 Barnes's

Notes 298.

22. A. sued out a special original, damages 50 l. served a copy thereof, and proceeded as if a ca. ad respond. with notice to appear, had been served. Judgment set aside with costs, and surther process staid; for per cur', plaintiss might have proceeded by pone and distress, or Vol. I.

(a) An ap-

rearance by

defendant

proteis.

taken a capias on his original, which he pleased; but service of a copy of the original in this manner amounts to nothing more than notice of the debt; process to be served according to the late statute must be process against the person. M. 11 Geo. 2. Peter v. Reignier administrator, 1 Barnes's Notes 300.

23. Motion to stay plaintiff's proceedings, copy of the process served, not being directed to the sheriff of any county, but denied per cur': Because defendant cannot take advantage of this as an irregularity; if the writ be vicious, advantage must be taken thereof in another manner. Hil. 7 Geo. 2. Chance v. Russel, 1 Barnes's

24 Process was not served upon defendant,

but upon another person; insisted that altho' the

Notes 291.

process might be served upon a wrong person, yet an appearance being now entered, defendant was in court, and the mistake was cured. Cur': The appearance being entered by the plaintiff (a)according to the statute by no means cures the cures all errors mistake. Proceedings staid. T. 8 & 9 Geo. 2. and defects in Westall v. Finch, 1 Barnes's Notes 294.

2 Barnes's Notes 136, 142, 239, 344.

25. Capies ed respond. bore teste 7th July, returnable 27th of Ostober, and was dated 25th Ostober 1742, a copy was served with notice to appear on the 27th of October next, which must refer to the time when served, and consequently must intend Ostober 1753. The Notice should have been to appear on the 27th of this instant Ostober, or Ostober 1742, and not Ottober next; the statute designed to make certain the time for defendant to appear by the Notice.

Notice. Proceedings staid. M. 16 Geo. 2.

Parker v. Edwards, 2 Barnes's Notes 240.

26. Copy of process served in June with Notice to appear at the return, being the 15th day of June, without saying (next) or the year (1747). Proceedings staid. T. 21 Geo. 2. Wingsield v. Beard, alias Farmer, 2 Barnes's Notes 336.

27. Special original sued out into Lincolnshire; defendants appeared; plaintiff declared in Middlesex; defendants refusing to accept the declaration, it was left in the office and taken out and paid for by defendants agent. Plaintiff sued out a new original in Middlesex. Cur' held the taking the declaration out of the office to be a waiver of the former proceedings, and discharged the rule to shew cause why proceedings in Middlesex should not be staid. E. 16 Geo. 2. Marquand v. The Mayor of the Borough of Boston in Lincolnshire, 2 Barnes's Notes 331.

28. An infant, tho' served with process with notice to appear by attorney, is compellable to appear by guardian. M. 12 Geo. 2. Gladman

v. Bateman, 2 Barnes's Notes 334.

29. Copy of process delivered in a letter, held to be good service, by defendant's opening the cover and taking out the copy; and that there is no occasion to shew the original at the time of service. T. 24 Geo. 2. Boswell v. Roberts, 2 Barnes's Notes 340.

30. Proceedings staid, process being directed to the sheriff of Kent, instead of test. ca. to the constable of Dover castle. 2 Barnes's Notes 341.

Vide p. ca.

31. Copy of process being tendered desendant at his house, and he resuling to accept it, held leaving it there was good service. T. 26 &

The present Practice of the

27 Geo. 2. Wood v. Dodgson, 2 Barnes's Notes 225.

32. If defendant complains of any irregularity in the process or notice, he must annex the

copy to his affidavit.

33. Process delivered without the filazer's name being put thereto good, for per cur', the act 2 Geo. 2. c. 23. does not require it. T. 7 & Geo. 2. Morley v. Johnson, Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 106.

Of executing process where bail is required.

IVE the writ to a sheriff's officer, who will get a warrant thereon. Pay in Middlesex 4d. Esex, Surry and Kent, 6d. a warrant from the high bailiff 2s. 4d. in other counties sheriff's warrant usually 2s. 6d. upon which the officer will arrest the defendant.

NOTES.

- The name of the attorney to be subscribed to every writ for arresting the body, execution and warrant thereon; and if such attorney shall not be the attorney immediately retained by the plaintist, then also with the name of the attorney so immediately retained. Stat. 2 Geo. 2. c. 23. s. 22. But by the Stat. 12 Geo. 2. c. 13, s. 4. the not subscribing the attorney's name on a warrant doth not vitiate the writ, provided the writ whereon such warrant is made out be regularly subscribed or indorsed according to the Stat. 2 Geo. 2.
- 2. Tho' no attorney's name be set to the sheriff's warrant, as required by the act 2 Geo. 2. yet cur' will not stay proceedings; for per cur'

the warrant is not void; the act of parliament is directory only, the sheriff is blameable, but the party must not suffer for his default. Rule to shew cause discharged. Hil. 12 Geo. 2. Lagget v. Watkins, 1 Barnes's Notes 303.—Pract. Reg. in C. P. 441. S. C. 2 Barnes's Notes 327. S. P.

3. Arrests and service of process, after the rising of the court, on the return-day, are irregular. Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 53.

4. Rule for the bailiff of the dutchy of Lancaster to return the sheriff's mandate on a fi. fa. discharged, the warrant having been directed to officers of plaintiff's nomination, and at his peril, and not to the officers of the bailiff of the dutchy. M. 17 Geo. 2. Gentleman v. Bright, 2 Barnes's Notes 331.

5. Defendant was protected by a public minister, and the protection registered in the sheriff's office according to the statute: A ca. ad respond. was delivered to the sheriff of Dorsetsbire, who durst not execute by reason of the protection and the penalty in the act. A treasury rule to return the writ discharged. Per cur', M. 17 Geo. 2. Wright v. Obedon, 2 Barnes's Notes 332.

6. Rule for the late sheriff of Devonshire to return a writ of capias discharged, the writ not having been delivered to the under sheriff 'till a year after it was returnable. T. 25 & 26 Geo. 2. Potter v. Colsworthy, 2 Barnes's Notes 341.

7. The under sheriff of Hampshire shut him-self up, and could not be personally served with a rule to return the writ of capias ad respond. Rule that leaving a copy at his house should be good service. M. 23 Geo. 2. Richardson v. Bailey, 2 Barnes's Notes 31.

Common Appearance.

ITH whom to be entered.] Defendant being served with a copy of the process, must enter his appearance with the officer from whom the writ issued; as upon a capias, &c. with the filazer; and upon an attachment of privilege, &c. with the prothonotary (a). You pay at the prothonotary's 3s. 10d. at filazer's 2s. 6d. ances ale to be for one defendant, viz. 1 s. 6 d. for the king's duty, and 1s. for entering the appearance. Where several defendants are sued jointly, 2 s. 6 d. Rule M. 1654 for the first defendant, and 4 d. for every other defendant. - If sued separately 2 s. 6 d. every defendant.

duly entered with the proper office.s.

(a) Appear-

12 Ges. I. only 4 days.

Rurdo.

When to be entered.] By defendant, accord-(5) By the Stat. ing to the Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. in eight days (b), e. 29. it was exclusive of the return-day, i. e. if the writ be returnable on the octave of St. Hilary, viz. the twentieth of January, plaintiff cannot enter an appearance for defendant till the twenty-ninth (e) Vide Pract. of January (c). But if defendant does not Reg. in C. P. appear in time, then plaintiff may enter an 33 Hil. 7 Geo. appearance for him according to the statute, and selects v. affidavit of having served process personally on defendant being first made and filed .- The affidavit may be sworn before a judge, commissioner (altho' attorney for plaintiff. Vide Rule E. 13 Geo. 2.) or proper officer for entring common appearances, or his deputy, which affidavit is to be filed gratis. Vide Stat. 12 Geo. 1. ç. 29.—5 Geo. 2. ç. 27.—and 21 Geo. 2. c. 3. Note; the affidavit must be filed in the office where the appearance is entered.

If defendant is an infant, he must appear by guardian within the time above-mentioned, and for that purpose may attend a judge of this

court

court with his guardian, and pray that he (A. B.)may be admitted his guardian, which A. B. consenting to, the judge's clerk draws up the admission, and the judge signs it, and then it is filed with the proper filazer, i e. the filazer of the county from whence the process issued. But if an infant, defendant, lives at some distance from London, he may get a petition on double-six penny stampt paper, drawn up, di= rected to one of the judges of this court, setting forth, that he is an infant under the age of twenty-one, viz. of such an age, and that he is fued in fuch a cause, and therefore prays that A. B. may be assigned his guardian, and A.B. writing on the petition his confent to be guardian for the infant, and an affidavit being made of their signing the petition, and annexed thereto, the judge's clerk writes the admission, and the judge signs it, and then the admission is filed with the proper filazer. But if defendant does not appear by guardian in the time allowed by the rules of the court, plaintiff must procure an affidavit of the service of the process, and that defendant is an infant, and that he hath not appeared, upon which the judge (without your taking out a summons) will make an order, that unless the infant appears within so many days after personal service of the order, plaintiff may assign John Doe for guardian, and enter appearance for defendant; and upon affidavit of the service of this order, the judge will make the order absolute, and then an admission is drawn up and filed as aforesaid. But this admission procured by the plaintiff is only to bring the defendant into court, for before such desendant shall be admitted to plead, he must get himself admitted by guardian, who is to defend his suit for him, otherwise his plea will not be received.

NOTES.

1. By the Stat. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29. if the defendant did not appear at the return of the process, or within four days after, plaintiff, upon affidavit, Ec. might enter a common appearance for him; and under this statute it was the practice for plaintiff's attorney to enter the defendant's appearance the next day after the appearance-day of the return of the writ. Vide Rep. and Cas. of Pra7. in C. P. 47. Prast. Reg. in C. P. 32.—But now by Stat. 5 Geo. 2. c. 27. the defendant (a copy of the process in English having been served, as by the said act is directed) shall appear at the return of the process, or within eight days after such return. Vide Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 95.—Note; plaintiff cannot appear for defendant till the ninth day. Pratt. Reg. in C. P. 32, 33.-1 Barnes's Notes 164. Ibid. 170.

2. If plaintiff enters an appearance for the defendant before the time defendant has to enter his appearance is expired, defendant must complain of this irregularity before [interlocutory] judgment is figned. Vide Rep. and Cas. of Pratt. in C. P. 92.——Pratt. Reg. 32——

1 Barnes's Notes 164.

3. When an attorney undertakes to appear and plead for defendant, cur' will compel him to appear; but, after doubt, it was agreed that a plea must be demanded in writing. M. 5 Geo. 2. Holiday v. Scot, Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 65—An attorney undertaking is bound to appear, and his not having done so, shall

not prejudice the plaintiff so as to set aside his interlocutory judgment. M. 6 Geo. 2. Theedam v. Jackson, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 26.—1 Barnes's

Notes 157. S. C.

4. Defendant, altho' he be not an attorney, yet if he undertakes to appear, is compellable thereto. Per Chief Justice and Fortescue; but Price thought it was never carried so far as to oblige a defendant on his own undertaking. Denton absent. Hil. 5 Geo. 2. Parsons v. Whitley, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 26.

5. In an action against baron and seme, if only the wise be arrested, she shall be discharged on a common appearance; but if both be arrested, both shall be held to bail. Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 117.—S. C. in Pract. Reg.

in C. P. 65.—1 Barnes's Notes 59.

6. Where the plaintiff's attorney enters an appearance for the defendant pursuant to the statute, and gives notice of declaration being filed to defendant, he is not obliged to take notice of any attorney that may afterwards appear to be concerned for defendant, and therefore may sign interlocutory judgment without calling on defendant's attorney for a plea. E. 8 Geo. 2. Jones v. Wilkinson, Rep. and Cas. of Pratt. in C. P. 116.—Vide Ibid. 50. Morris v. Parry, M. 2 Geo. 2.—Prast. Reg. in C. P. 29. Maurice one, &c. v. Barry, M. 2 Geo. 2, S. C. says, that if defendant fails of entring his appearance in time, and the plaintiff enters it for him pursuant to the statute, he may proceed upon the rule of M. 1 Geo. 2. notwithstanding the defendant employs an attorney afterwards, and the plaintiff knows such attorney. Ibid. 31. Cauter v. Jockham, Hil, 5 Geo. 2. S. P.—The like

The present Practice of the

like resolution, Shrigley v. Malher, M. 7 Geo. 2. Ibid.

Special bail on cepi corpus.

When it is to be put in.] If defendant be arrested in London or Middlesex, he must but in special bail in sour days (exclusive after the appearance day of the return of the writ) or the bail-bond may be assigned.—And if defendant be arrested in any other city or county, then in eight days, exclusive of the appearance day. Rule Hil. 9 Ann.

But if the last day of the said times happen on a Sunday, bail may be put in the next day.

Two people put in bail in feigned names, and because there were no such persons, they could not be prosecuted for personating bail on the Stat. 21 Jac. 1. c. 26. so the court ordered them and the attorney to be set in the pillory, which was done accordingly. T. 6 Geo. 1. Anon. in C. B. Str. 384.

How to put in bail in towr.] Go to the procare must be per (a) filazer, if it is filazer's bail, i.e. if the filazer issued out the writ, and he or his clerk ply to the pro- will attend the court or judge to take the reper officer in cognizance of bail, which in London and Middlewhose office fex is done by an entry in the filazer's book (b), to be entered*; and not by a bail piece, which entry he will for if the bail afterwards draw up in proper form, if there be be entered in occasion to sue the bail on their recognizance (c). a wrong office,

the plaintiff may nevertheless proceed on the bail bond. (b) Entry of bail in a filazer's book ordered to be amended nisi, M. 6 Geo. 2. Faggot v. Van Thiennen, Rep. and Cas. of Prast. in C. P. 74. 1 Barnes's Notes 44. S. C. Recognizance of bail amended and made agreeable to the writ the same term, between the same parties. Prast. Reg. in C. P. 74.—

Ibid 15. E. 11 Geo. 2. Magrath v. Conning, S. P. (c) See the form of the entry, p. * The officer from whose office the writ issued is the proper officer.

Expence

Court of Common Pleas.

Expence out of pocket of putting in bail before a judge.—Bail-piece 2 s. filazer in some counties 6s. judge's clerk in term time 5 s. in vacation 12 s.

If the writ issued out from the prothonotary's office, then it is called prothonotary's bail, and the prothonotary's clerk will attend the court or judge, to take the bail, and will afterwards draw up the entry in proper form, if there be occasion to sue the bail on their recognizance.

If the filazer cannot attend, the recognizance may be taken in his absence on stampt parchment, at the judge's chambers, upon bringing a true abstract of the writ. Hil. 8 Geo. 2. By the former rule, T. 1 W. & M. filazer's bail could not be taken in the absence of the filazer. You may have an abstract of the writ from the sheriff's office. You generally pay 6 d.

If you put in special bail at the judge's chambers in the absence of the silazer or prothonotary, you must get a special bail-piece from your stationer, which is a piece of parchment stampt with a double twelve-penny stamp.

Make an entry of the recognizance thereon in the following manner:

London. APIAS against A. B. late of London, taylor, at the suit of C. D. for 100!. upon promise, returnable on the morrow of the Holy Trinity.

Affidavit for 50 l.

- Bail T. W. of Brook Street, in the parish of St. Andrew, Holbourn, in the county of Middlesex, taylor.
- S. S. of Pall-Mall, in the parish of St. James, in the liberty of Westminster and county of Middlesex, gentleman.

The defendant bound in 100 l. Each of the bail in 50 l.

Taken and acknow-ledged the ——day of ——&c. conditionally, before

C. J. attorney for the defendant.

If the defendant be arrested on a test. from London, or any other county, into Kent, the above entry will do, mutatis mutandis, for special bail is taken on the capias.—Bail-piece amended by the instruction for the writ. E. 11 Geo. 2. Magrath v. Comming, Prast. Reg. in C. P. 15.

If the defendant be not present to enter into the recognizance, then the bail are bound in double the sum indorsed on the writ; but if he is, then the bail are only bound in the sum indorsed, and the defendant in double the sum.

Of putting in bail in the country.] The judges of this court, or any two of them, whereof the chief justice to be one, may impower such persons, other than common attorneys or solicitors,

folicitors, as they shall think fit, in England, Wales, or town of Berwick upon Tweed, to take recognizance of bail in any action or suit depending in this court, which recognizance shall be of the like effect as if taken de bene esse before any judge of the court. Stat. 4 W. & M. c. 4. s. 1.

Any judge of assize in his circuit may take recognizance of bail, which shall be transmitted to one of the judges of this court, and received by him without oath of the due ta-

king thereof. Same Stat. s. 3.

Before any bail shall be taken by virtue of the said act 4 W. & M. c. 4. a true copy (a) of (a) I believe the writ on parchment, to which the defendant that an abstract is to put in bail, shall be brought to the commissioner before whom such bail is to be taken, and thereupon the recognizance or bail-piece will be sufficient, and that shall be fairly ingrossed (b), in this or the like the form p. mutatis mutan-

form now used by commissioners.

(b) The commissioners generally write the recognizance in a common hand.

The bail A. B. of ——— in the county of ————, Gent. and C. D. of the same place, Gent.

J. S. attorney for The defendant in 201. the defendant. Each of the bail in 101.

The condition of the recognizance.

YOU (naming the defendant if present) do acknowledge to owe unto the plaintiff 20 l. and you (naming the bail) do severally acknowledge to owe unto the plaintiff the sum of 10 l. a-piece, to be levied upon your several goods and chattels, lands and tenements, upon condition that if the defendant be condemned in the said action, he shall pay the condemnation money, or render himself a prisoner to the Fleet for the same; and if he sails so to do, you (naming the bail) do undertake to do it for him.

By the rule 5 W. & M. the commissioner for taking the recognizance of bail is entitled to 2 s. and no more. Stat. 4 W. & M. c. 4. If the defendant be not present, then the bail are usually bound in double the sum indorsed on the writ, otherwise only single. Vide p.

Affidavit of the due taking such bail must be made (Stat. 4 W. & M. c. 4.) either before some judge of this court, to whom the bail-piece shall be transmitted, or before a commissioner authorized to take affidavits in this court. E. 5 W. & M.—Which affidavit is to be annexed to the bail-piece.

Every commissioner is to have a book for entring the names of the defendant, and his bail, and of the plaintiff, as in the bail-piece, and the time of taking thereof; and the name of him by whom such bail shall be transmitted, which book plaintiff's attorney may search. Rule E. 5 W. & M.

Notice of putting in bail.] Immediately after putting in bail before a judge, notice there-

of in writing must be given to the plaintiff's attorney or agent, that he may enquire into the sufficiency of the bail.

The form of the notice.

A.B. against C.D.

SIR,

TAKE notice that *E.T.* of, &c. (naming the street, &c. particularly, as in the bailpicce, or proper officer's book) and S. S. of, &c. were this day put in as bail above for the defendant in this cause, before Mr. Justice

Your's, &c.

To Mr. J. M. attorney for plaintiff.

R. S. attorney for defendant.

- day of -, 1758.

If bail be put in before a commissioner, notice thereof must be given by defendant's attorney to plaintiff's attorney within four days after the caption. Rule Hil. 13 Geo. 1.—not prastifed.

How to put in bail on a test. capias. Vide p.

BAIL taken by a commissioner when to be transmitted.] If bail be taken by a commissioner within the distance of forty miles from the cities of London and Westminster (a) (a) Note; the then the same is to be transmitted to a judge rule of Hil. of this court, to be by him allowed, within ten 6 Geo. 1. mendays don.