craftily and subtilly to deceive and defraud the said N. in this behalf, the said 100 lb. weight of fine Turkey Rhubarb, or any part thereof, to the said N. hath not delivered (although he the said N. was always prepared and ready upon the delivery of the said 100 lb. weight of fine Turkey Rhubarb in manner aforesaid, then and there to have paid the said J. the said 1141. 195. which together with the said 1s. paid by the said N. to the said J. as aforesaid amount to 1151. (that is to say) 23 s. for every pound weight of the said 100 lb. weight of the said fine Turkey Rhubarb, according to the agreement aforesaid) but the said 7. hath hitherto neglected and refused, and still doth neglect and refuse to deliver to the said N. the said 100 lb. weight of fine Turkey Rhubarb.

Count for money had and received.

said, he the said J. undertook, and then and

there

there faithfully promised the said W. to pay him 51. 5s. if that person did not return the faid gelding to the said W. the same day; and the said W. doth aver, that he, confiding in the aforelaid promise of the said 7. afterwards, to wit, the same day and year abovesaid at London aforesaid, in the parish and ward aforesaid, at the said request of the said 3. did let to hire, and deliver unto the said person so unknown to the said W. the said gelding of the said W. to ride from London aforesaid to Highgate aforesaid, and so back again to London aforesaid; and that the said person so unknown to the said W. did not the same day, or at any time afterwards, return the faid gelding to the faid W. whereof the said 7. had notice, and therefore the said 7. according to his aforesaid promise and undertaking, ought to have paid unto the aforesaid W. the said sum of 51. 55. Yet the said J. not regarding his aforesaid promise and undertaking, &c.

Trover by afbankruptcy.

Wilts, to wit, E. D. late, &c. and R. M. fignees of com-late, &c. were attached to answer J.G. and missioners of R. P. assignees of the debts, goods and chattels of S. L. a bankrupt, according to the form of the statutes made concerning bankrupts, of a plea of trespass upon the case,  $\mathcal{E}_c$  and whereupon the said  $\mathcal{F}_c$  and  $R_c$  by S. S. their attorney complain, that they the the year of our Lord —— at Bradford in the said county of Wilts, were possessed of 30 broad cloths of the value of 600 l. as of their

own proper goods and chattels (they being as aforefaid affignees of the debts, goods and chattels of the said bankrupt) and being thereof so possessed, they the said  $\mathcal{F}$ , and R. the said 30 broad cloths out of their hands and possession casually lost and missaid; which said 30 broad cloths afterwards, to wit, the day and year aforesaid at Bradford aforesaid, came to the hands and possession of them the aloresaid E, and R, by finding; and though the aforesaid E, and R, knew the aforesaid cloths to be the proper goods and chattels of the aforesaid  $\mathcal{F}$ , and R, and to them as assignees of the debts, goods and chattels of the said S. L. the bankrupt, of right to belong and appertain: Yet they the aforesaid E. and R. contriving and fraudulently intending the aforesaid  $\mathcal{F}$ , and R, of the aforesaid cloths, to deceive and defraud, have not, nor hath either of them delivered the aforesaid cloths, or any of them to the aforesaid  $\mathcal{F}$ , and R: although they have by them been often requested to deliver the same; but they the aforesaid E, and R, afterwards, to wit, the day and year aforesaid at Bradford aforesaid, to their own proper use did convert and dispole of the aforesaid cloths, to the damage of them the aforesaid J. and R. 600 l. And thereof they bring suit, &c.

Middlesex, to wit, R. W. late of West. Deciaration at minster in the county aforesaid, esq; and D. the suit of an L. late of the same, esq; late sheriff of the Prochein amy county of Middlesex, were attached to answer against a sheunto J. H. of a plea of trespass on the case, rist for a suite Vol. I.

N n

Sc. return, where-

for a rescous.

by the plaintiff &c. and whereupon the said J. H. by J. H. was taken on who is admitted by his majesty's court here an attachment to prosecute for the said J. H. who is within the age of 21 years, as the next friend of the said J. H. complaineth, that whereas on the 23d day of January in the term of St. Hilary in the leventh year of the reign of his present majesty, a writ of his said majesty issued out of his said majesty's court of common bench here, to wit, at Westminster in the said county, directed to the then sheriff of Middlesex; by which said writ the said sheriff was commanded, that he should take  $\mathcal{F}$ . H. then late of St. Giles's in the said county, scavenger, and  $\mathcal{F}.D.$  if they should be found in his bailiwic, and them safely keep, so that the said sheriff might have their bodies before the justices of his said majesty here, to wit, at Westminster aforesaid, from the day of Easter in 15 days then next following, to answer T. B. in a plea of trespass; and also that the said J. might answer the said T. according to the custom of the said court of Common Bench here, of a certain plea of trespass on the case upon promise, to the damage of him the said T. 20% and that the said sheriff should then have there the said writ; which said writ afterwards, and before the return thereof, to wit, on the 9th day of February in the 7th year aforesaid, at Westminster aforesaid, was delivered to the said R. W. and D. L. then, and until and after the return of the said writ, being sherisf of the faid county, to be executed in due form of law; Yet the said R. W. and D. L. then, and until

until and after the return of the said writ, being sheriffs as aforesaid, not regarding the duty of the said office of sherisf of the said county, but contriving and fraudulently intending to injure and oppress the said J. in this particular, afterwards, to wit, at the return of the said writ, did falsly, and in deceit of the said court here return to and upon the said writ to the justices of his said majelty here, to wit, at Westminster aforesaid, that by virtue of his said writ to him directed, he made his warrant directed to S. L. J. D. and R. R. his bailiffs of the hundred of Offulfton, jointly and separately to take and arrest the said J. H. in the said writ named, by virtue of which faid warrant the aforesaid S. L. afterwards, and before the return of the said writ, to wit, on the 1st day of April in the 7th year aforesaid, at the parish of St. Giles's in the Fields in the said county, within the faid hundred of Offulfton in the said sheriff's bailiwic, did take and arrest the said J. H. according to the command of the said writ, and then and there kept him in safe custody, until E. H. wife of the said  $\mathcal{F}$ . H. and the said J. by the name of J. H. &c.and divers other persons unknown to the said then sheriff, and to the said S. L. at the parish of St. Giles aforesaid, with force and arms in and upon the said S. L. the said then sherisi's bailiss aforesaid, made an assault, and beat, wounded and ill-treated him, and then and there rescued, and each and every of them did then and there rescue the said J. H. out of the custody of the said S. L. the Nn2 faid

said then sheriff's bailiss aforesaid, against the said then sheriff's will, and against the will and without the confent of the said S. L. and him the said J. H. did permit to go at large where he pleased; and the said J. H. did then and there, with force and arms, rescue himself, and escaped out of the custody of the said S. L. the said then sheriss's said bailiff, against the said then sheriff's will, and without the consent of the said S. L. against the peace of the said lord the king; and afterwards and before the return of the said writ, the said J. H. was not found in the said then sheriff's bailiwic, and therefore the said then sheriff could not have the body of the said J. H. before his said majesty's justices at the day and place in the faid writ mentioned, as by the faid writ the said then sheriff was commanded; under pretence of which falle return of the said writ, afterwards, to wit, on the 23d day of Ofteber in Michaelmas term in the 8th year of the reign of his said majesty, a writ of his said majesty issued out of his said majesty's court of common bench here, to wit, at Westminster aforesaid, according to the course and ulage of the said court, directed to the now sheriff of the said county of Middlesex; by which writ the said now sheriff was commanded, that he should not omit because of any liberty in his faid county, but that he should take the said E. the said wife of the said J. H. and the said J. if they were to be found in the bailiwic of the said now sheriff, and safely keep them, so that the said now

sherisf might have their bodies before his said majesty's justices here, to wit, at Westminster aforesaid, from the day of St. Martin in 15 days then next following, to answer to his said majesty of certain trespasses, rescues and contempts, certified by the said R.W. and D. L. late sherisf of the county of Middlesex aforesaid to his said majesty's justices at W. aforesaid, from the day of Easter in 15 days then last past, by virtue of which the said 7. afterwards, and before the return of that writ, to wit, on the 11th day of November in the 8th year of the reign of his said majesty, at the said parish of St. Giles's in the fields in the county aforesaid, was attached, taken and imprisoned by MP, and  $\mathcal{F}$ . S. the said now sheriff of the said county of Middlesex, and kept in prison by them there for a long time, to wit, from thenceforth, until the space of 33 hours then next following; and the said J. by reason of the premisses, was obliged to appear in the said court here, to wit, at Westminster aforesaid, at the return of the said writ, to answer to his said majesty for the said trespasses, rescues and contempts as aforesaid returned, according to the exigence of the said writ last above mentioned, and thereupon he the said J. H. with one R. J. and W.L. afterwards, to wit, on the 22d day of November in the term of St. Michael in the said 8th year of the reign of his said majesty, in his said majesty's court here, entered into' a recognizance in the said court here, for the personal appearance of the said J. II. from the day of St. Martin in 15 days N n 3then

then next following, to answer all such matters as should be then and there objected against the said J. H. and especially for his contempt on the rescous of the said J. H. returned by the said R. W. and D. L. the said late sherisf of Middlesex aforesaid, and so from day to day till the faid J. H. should be discharged by the said court, and not depart the said court without leave of the same court; whereas the said 7. H. in fact saith, that he is in no wife guilty of the said supposed rescous and contempt lately returned against him as atoresaid, by reason of which false return of the said writ above-mentioned so made by the said R. W. and D. L. then sheriff as aforesaid, the said J. H. not only bore and sustained a long imprisonment as asoresaid, but he hath been put unto divers great colls and expences, amounting in the whole to a great fuin, to wit, to the fum of 401, to the damage of the said J. H. 901. Thereof he bringeth, suit, &c.

Declaration in case for unskila ship, whereby she ran aplt.'s goods therein.

London, to wit, H.G. late, &c. mariner, was attached to answer to T. H. in a plea of fully managing trespass upon the case, and whereupon the said T. by G. N. his attorney complaineth, gainst a lighter that whereas the said T. on the 28th day of and dameged December in the year of our Lord 1735, at London aforesaid, was possessed of divers goods and merchandizes, to wit, of 1000 baskets of Denie raisins, of the value of 3601. then laden on board a certain lighter then being in the river Thames, and then moored at a certain key adjoining to the faid river

? bames

Thames at London aforesaid; and whereas the said H. upon the said 28th day of December in the said year of our Lord 1735, at London aforesaid, was possessed of and master of a certain ship or vessel called the Mary, then being in the said river Thames near the said key; yet the said H. not being ignorant of the premisses, but devising and maliciously intending to hurt and injure the said T. in this behalf, upon the same day and year abovementioned at London aforesaid, to ill, unskilfully and negligently managed, governed and directed his said ship or vessel, that for want of good care and management of the said H. of his said ship or vessel, the said ship or vessel of the said H. then and there pressed against the said lighter, and broke one of the sides of the said lighter, whereby the water then and there flowed into the said lighter so laden with the said raisins as aforesaid, and very much damaged and spoiled the said raisins so laden therein, whereby he saith he is prejudiced, and hath damage to the value of 100% and thereof he bringeth fuit, &c.

Yorkshire, to wit, W.J. innkeeper of a Declaration common inn in Cloughton in the county afore-against an innsaid, was attached to answer W. K. of a plea keeper for loof trespass upon the case; whereupon the said sing a horse of W. K. by  $\mathcal{F}$ . U. his attorney complaineth, his guest. that whereas by the law and custom of England, innkeepers who keep common inns to entertain travellers passing along the country where fuch common inns are, and as guests Nna lodging

lodging in the fame inns fafely and fecurely by night and day, are bound to keep, without any loss or dimunition of their goods and chattels being within the said inns, so that by default of such innkeepers or of their servants no damage may in any wife happen to fuch guests; and also whereas the said W.  $\mathcal{F}$ . on, and long before the 7th day of November in the 9th year of the reign of the lord the now king, and on the 1st day of November aforesaid did hold and keep, and yet doth hold and keep a common inn in C. aforefaid, in the county aforesaid; and the said W.K.the said 7th day of November in the said inn as a guest did lodge, the said W. K. then and there in the said inn having with him a certain mare of the said W. K. of the price of 51. yet certain mildoers unknown to the said W. K. the faid 7th day of November at C. aforesaid in the county aforesaid, in default of due keeping of the said W.J. and his fervants, the mare of the faid W. K. then and there put and placed in the said inn, under the custody of the said W. J. in the said inn of the said W.J. by the said W.K. a guest of the said W. K. in the said inn, took and carried away, and her detained from the taid W. K. against the will of the said W. K. for a long time, to wit, for the space of one month, and then and there did her beat, bruile and lame, so that she became of no value, and other injuries to him did, to the great damage of the faid W. K. and against the laid law and cultom. And also wherees by the law and custom of England, all inn-Keepers

· keepers, who keep common inns to entertain travellers palling along the country where such inns are, and as guest's lodging in such inns, all their goods and chattels, and all the goods and chattels being in the lawful custody of such guests, being in the said inns night and day, are bound to keep without loss or diminution, so that for default of such inn-keepers or their servants no damage may in any wife happen to fuch guests, or to the goods and chattels which are in the lawful custody of such guests within the said inns; and whereas the faid W.  $\mathcal{F}$ . on, and long before the seventh day of November in the 9th year of the reign of the lord the now king, and on the first day of November aforesaid in the same year, in his said inn at C. aforesaid in the county aforesaid, in his said inn did lodge the said W. K. as his guest, the said W. K. then and there having in his lawful custody another mare of H. H. then and there being the proper mare of the said H. H. of the price of 51. by the said H. H. to the faid W. K, before that time there lent. safely to be redelivered to the said H. H. yet certain misdoers unknown to the said W. K. the said 7th day of November at C. aforesaid in the county aforesaid, in default of due keeping of the faid W.  $\mathcal{J}$ . and his fervants, the faid last mentioned mare then and there put and placed under the cultody of the said W.J. in the said inn of the said W.J. by the said W. K. a guest in the said inn of the find 11. J. took and carried away, and her there

long time, to wit, the space of 11 days, and her then and there beat, bruited and lamed, so that she became of no value; by reason whereof the said W. K. paid the said H. H. a great sum of money, to wit, 21 s. in satisfaction of the injury done to the said mare; and he the said W. J. other injuries did to the said W. K. to the great damage of the said W. K. and against the said law and custom; whereupon the said W. K. faith, that he is injured, and hath damage to the value of 101. and thereof he bringeth suit, &c.

Declaration in trespass for entering and bunting in a chase or war-ren, and kill-ing game.

Cumberland, to wit, F.T. late, &c. was attached to answer W. H. in a plea wherefore he with force and arms entered into a free chase of the said W, at M, in the county aforesaid, and without his licence and consent in the same did hunt, and did take, kill and carry away hares, conies, pheasants and partridges; and also wherefore he with force and arms entered into the free warren of the said W, at M, aforesaid, and without his licence and content did there hunt, and take, kill and carry away other hares, conies, pheasants and partridges, and other injuries to him did, to the great damage of the said W. and against the peace of our lord the king; and whereupon the faid W. by T. B. his attorney complaineth, that the aforesaid F. on the——day of——in the—— year of the reign of his present majesty, and on divers other days and times between that day and the 1st day of March in the——year of the reign

reign of his said majesty, with force and arms, &c. entered into the free chase of the said W. at M. aforesaid, and without his licence and consent did in the same hunt, and did take, kill and carry away hares, to wit, 20 hares, conies, to wit, 40 conies, pheafants, to wit, 20 phealants, and partridges, to wit, 20 partridges; and also that the faid F. on the said several days and times aforesaid, with force and arms, &c. entered into the free warren of the faid W. at M. aforesaid, and without his licence and consent there did hunt, and did take, kill and carry away other hares, to wit, 20 other hares, conies, to wil, 40 other conies, pheasants, to wit, 20 other pheasants, and partridges, to wit, 20 other partridges, and other injuries, &c. to the great damage, &c. and against the peace, &c. whereupon the said. W. faith that he is prejudiced, and hath received damage to the value of 201. and thereof he bringeth suit, Gc.

Derbyshire, to wit, W. L. late, &c. was \* attached to answer J. T. of a plea of trespass upon the case, &c. and whereupon the said J. by W. W. his attorney complaineth, that

<sup>\*</sup> Declaration in case for detaining plt.'s apprentice. Ld. Ch. Just. Raymond said, that if a man knows that an apprentice ran away from his master, and he keeps and employs him, the proper remedy is to bring an action for so much money paid to the plaintiff's apprentice in wrong of the Praintiff. 2 Lev. 63. Sef. Cass. 292. Barnard, K. B. 312.

whereas W. the son of W. M. of, Gc. by his certain indenture bearing date the-day of—in the year of our Lord—at aforefaid, was justly and lawfully retained in the service of him the said J. after the manner of an apprentice, to be instructed in the art of a cutler, which the aforefaid 7. then used, until the end and term of nine years from hence next enfuing and fully to be complete and ended. And the same W. M. the son served for the space of three years and upwards next after the fild ---- day of --- in the year aforesaid, in the service of him the said J. in his art aforesaid as an apprentice; neverthelels the aforelaid W. L. not ignorant of the premisses, but contriving craftily and subtilly to deceive and defraud him the said J. of the service of his said apprentice, and of all profit, advantage and gain, which he the said J. by reason of his apprentice aforesaid should and might have and gain, afterwards during the said term of nine years, to wit, on the first day of July in the year of our Lord—at—aforefaid in the faid county, knowing \* the aforefaid W. M. the son to be the apprentice of him the said J. did wrongfully intice and procure him the said W. M. the son to depart from the said service of him the said J. which said apprentice of the said J. afterwards, to wit, the same day and year, by

reafor

<sup>\*</sup> In an action for inticing away an apprentice, it must be proved, that the defendant knew he was the plaintiff's apprentice. Sef. Caf 202. pl. 223. Farnard, K. B. 312.

reason of the said inticements and procurements of the said W. L. without any reasonable or probable cause whatsoever left the service of the said J. his master, and absented himself from his said service for a long time, to wit, for a month then next following, and the faid W. L. injuriously detained and kept him the faid W. M. the son from his service aforesaid during that time, against the will of the said 7. to wit, at ---- aforesaid, whereby the said J. for all that time lost the service of his apprentice aforesaid; And whereas the said W. M. the son, afterwards, and during his said apprenticeship, to wit, on the 2d day of August in the year of our Lord——without any reasonable cause or just pretence absented himself from the service of his said master, to wit, at ---aforelaid; nevertheless the said W. L. well knowing the premisses, but contriving and intending further to injure the laid J. and to deprive him of the further use, service and benefit of his said apprentice W. M. the son, afterwards, to wit, on the faid 2d day of August in the year of our Lord——aforesaid, at — against the will of the said J. injurioully received the said W. M. the apprentice into his service, and detained and kept him from the service of the said J. his master for a long time, to wit, from thenceforth until the ist day of January then next following; whereby the said J. for all that time lost the service of his said apprentice, to the damage of the said J. of 4cl. And thereof he bringeth luit, &c.

Declaration wife.

Herefordshire, to wit, R. S. was attached for taking and to answer W. B. gentleman of a plea, wherefore he took S, the wife of the faid W, and keepeth her taken, &c. and whereupon the faid W. by J. C. his attorney complaineth, that the said R. on the first day of June in the year of our Lord 1734, at the parish of Bodenham in the county aforesaid, took the faid S. the wife of the said W. and keepeth her yet taken, whereby he saith that he is prejudiced, and damnisied to the value of 5001. and thereof he bringeth suit, &c.

Declaration for carrying arvay plaintiff's wife, goods and chaticls.

Suffolk, to wit, M.W. late, &c. malster, was attached to answer to S. F. gent. in a plea, wherefore with force and arms he took and carried away T. the wife of the said S. together with the goods and chattels of the said S. of the value of 5001. pounds at Stowmarket aforesaid, and detained the said T. the faid wife of the faid S. there from the faid S. a long time, whereby the said S. lost the aid, comfort, fellowship, service and assistance of his said wife, and detained for a long time the faid goods and chattels, and doth still detain the same, and did other wrongs to the said S. to the great damage of the said S. and against the peace of his present majesty, &c. And whereupon the said S. by T. K. his attorney complaineth, that the said M. on the 15th day of May in the year of our Lord 1733, at S. aforesaid in the county aforesaid, with force and arms, &c. took and carried away the said I. then and

now the wife of the said S. together with the goods and chattels of the said S. to wit, a gold watch, a watch-chain and picture set in gold, one pair of ear-rings of gold set with diamonds, two other gold rings, four gowns, four petticoats, one cloth cloak, one velvet hood, 20 holland shifts, two headdresses of lace, and two other head-dresses of cambrick and lace, of the value of 200%. found at S. aforesaid; and detained the said T. the said wife of the said S. there from the said S. a long time, to wit, from the said 15th day of May in the said year of our Lord 1733, until the 18th day of October in the year of our Lord 1735, whereby the said S. during all that time lost the aid, comfort, fellowship, service and assistance of his said wife; and also during all the time aforesaid detained the said goods and chattels, and doth still detain the same, and did other wrongs, &c. to the great damage, &c. and against the peace, &c. whereby the said S. faith that he is injured, and hath damage to the value of 2000l. and thereof he bringeth iuit, &c.

Devon, to wit, G. F. late, &c. was attached to answer E. F. of a plea, wherefore with force and arms he made an assault upon M. the wife of the said E. at K. in the said county, and her the said M. debauched, abused, carnally knew and got with child, whereby the said E. for a long time lost the comfort and affection, and also the counsel,

counsel, assistance and service of his said wife in his domestic affairs, and did him other wrongs, to the great damage of the faid E, and against the peace of our lord the king,  $\mathfrak{Sc}$ . And whereupon the faid E. by T. W. his attorney complaineth, that the faid G. upon the 11th day of November, 1732, and at diverse other days and times between that day and the 4th day of October in the year of our Lord 1733, with force and arms made an affault upon M. then the wife of the faid E, at K, aforefaid in the county aforesaid, and her the said M. then and there debauched, abused, carnally knew and got her with child, whereby the faid E. for all the time aforesaid lost the comfort and affection, and also the counsel and assistance, and service of his said wife in his domestic affairs, and did him other wrongs, to the great damage of the said E. and against the peace, &c. Whereupon he saith he is injured, and hath damage to the value of 1000l. and thereof he bringeth suit, &c. \*

Declaration in case for dilapidations in not repairing chancel, &c.

Gloucestershire, to wit, P. L. late, &c. late rector of the parish church of B. in the said county of G. was attached to answer to W. A. clerk, now rector of that church, in a plea of trespass on the case, &c. and where upon the said W. A. by T. M. his attorney complaineth, that whereas all and singular rectors of churches within that part of his

<sup>\*</sup> See more precédents in second volume.

majesty's kingdom of Great Britain called England, for the time being, ought by law well and sufficiently to repair, support and fustain the chancels of their churches, and the walls and fences of their church-yards, and all and singular the houses, edifices, buildings and structures of and belonging to their rectories, and the walls and fences of their glebe lands thereof, and relinquish, yield up and leave the same without any dilapidations, or want of reparation whatsoever, to their successors, rectors of such churches: and in default thereof are bound and ought to pay and fatisfy their fuccessors the full and true value of such dilapidations and want of reparations. And whereas the said P. was heretofore lawfully instituted, and inducted into the said rectory and parish church of B, and on the first day of November in the year of our Lord 1733, was the lawful rector thereof, and in right of the said rectory was seised of a mansion-house, called the parsonage-house in the said parish. of B. with the barns, stables, out-houses, edifices, buildings, yards, courts, gardens and appurtenances thereunto belonging, and also of several pieces or parcels of glebe land parcel of the said rectory, and so continued until such time as herein after is mentioned, and afterwards, to wit, on the 25th day of the said month of November in the said year of our Lord 1733, freely resigned the said rectory and parish church into the hands of E. late lord bishop of G. deceased, then ordinary Vol. I.

dinary of that place, and thereupon the said church being vacant by means of such resignation; and the said W. A. afterwards, to wit, the 18th day of December in the year aforesaid, was upon such vacancy duly prefented to the said church, and admitted, instituted and inducted into the same, and became, and hath continued, and now is the lawful rector of the faid church, and the next successor of the said P, and the said W. A. in fact saith, that at the said time of the resignation of the said rectory and parish church by the said P, the chancel of the said parish church, and the walls and fences of the church-yards thereof, and the said manfion or dwelling-house called the parsonagehouse, part of and belonging to the said rectory, the brew-house thereto adjoining, the barn, the stables, the coach-house, carthouse, the granary, the necessary-house, the chicken-house, and other out-houses, edifices and buildings belonging to the said mansion-house, the mound-walls round the garden and courts likewise belonging to the fame house, the stone steps in the said garden and the rails on each side of such steps, and also the walls and fences of the glebe lands, part also of the said rectory, were very ruinous, and greatly dilapidated, and in decay for want of repairs, and were so left by the said P. when he resigned the said rectory and church as aforefaid, to wit, on the 25th day of November in the said year of our Lord 1733. And the said W. A. further saith, that the sum of money necessary

to be expended and laid out for the necessary repairs of the said premisses amounteth to, and at the time of the said resignation of the said rectory and parish church by the said P. amounted to 2001. of lawful money of Great-Britain, of which the said P. afterwards, to wit, on the 20th day of the said month of December in the said year 1733, at B. aforesaid, had notice: Nevertheless the said P, intending to defraud the said W, in this behalf, the said sum of 200% or any part thereof, or any other sum of money sufficient for the necessary reparation of the said premisses, so left by the said P. dilapidated, and in such a ruinous condition for want of repairs as aforesaid, to the said W. hath not paid or satisfied, although the said P. was afterwards, to wit, the said 20th day of December in the year last above mentioned, at the said parish of B. in the said county of G. requested by the said W. so to do; but the said P. hath hitherto refused, and still refuseth to pay or satisfy the same, or any fum of money whatfoever to the faid W. for such want of repairs and dilapidations as aforesaid, to the damage of the said W. of 2001. and thereof he bringeth suit, &c.

Northamptonshire, to wit, T. K. late, Declaration in  $\mathcal{C}_c$ . was attached to answer to R. B. who probibition. prosecuteth in this behalf as well for the king as for himself in a plea, wherefore he the said T. hath followed a plea against the said R. in the spiritual court against the king's prohibition,  $\mathcal{C}_c$ . and whereupon the said R. O o 2 who

who as well, &c. by T. S. his attorney complaineth, that whereas the parish of Towcester aforesaid is, and from the time beyond the memory of man was an ancient parish, within which parish for all that time there was and is an ancient parish church and whereas he the faid R.B. now is, and for divers years last past was seised in his demesne as of fee of and in an ancient messuage, with the appurtenances, in the parish of Towcester aforefaid, now or late in the tenure or occupation of L. R. and he the faid R. B. and all those whose estates he had and hath of and in the said messuage, with the appurtenances, from time beyond the memory of man have at their own costs repaired one seat or form in the faid church, which feat or form hath been lately taken in and made parcel of a pew in the said church, and therefore have had and used for themselves and family inhabiting in the said messuage the sole and separate use of the said seat or form, for the hearing and attending of divine service in the said church. And whereas all pleas and fuits of and concerning customs and prescriptions within this realm, and the cognizance of fuch pleas and fuits, specially belong and appertain to his majesty and his royal crown, and not to the court Christian, and by the common law of the land of this kingdom of England, and not by the ecclesiastical laws or censures, ought to be tried, determined and discussed, and always hitherto were wont and ought: Nevertheless the said T. K. well knowing the premisses, but contriving

triving unjustly to grieve the said R. B. and to disherit his said majesty and his royal crown, and to bring the cognizance of a plea which specially belongs to his present majefty and his royal crown to another fort of trial in the court Christian before the venerable G. R. doctor of laws, lawfully deputed vicar general in spirituals, and principal official of the reverend father in Christ and lord R. by divine permission bishop of Peterborough, or his furrogate, hath impeached and controverted his suit, the right, title and possession of the said R. B. to the said seat or form, now parcel of the faid pew; and although the said R. B. hath pleaded and alledged all and singular the matters above by him here suggested in the said court Christian before the said spiritual judge, in maintenance of his said right and possession of the faid seat or form in the said pew, and offered to prove the same by inevitable testimony; Yet the said spiritual judge wholly refused to admit the said allegation and proof, and the said T. K. endeavoureth and daily contriveth to cause the said R. B. to be condemned in the premisses in the said court Christian, before the said spiritual judge, in contempt of his said present majesty, and to the manifest damage, prejudice, impoverishment and grievance of the said R. B. and against the common law of England. And although he the said R. afterwards, to wit, on the 20th day of January in the year of our Lord 1733, at the parish aforesaid, delivered to the said T. K. the king's writ of O 0 3

prohibition to the contrary thereof; New vertheless the said T. K. hath not ceased to follow his said suit in the said spiritual court, but hath since that time prosecuted and still prosecuteth his said suit there, notwithstanding the faid writ of prohibition so delivered to him as aforesaid, in contempt of his said majesty, and to the great damage and grievance of the said R. and against the said prohibition; whereupon the faid R, who as well, &c. faith that he is injured, and hath damage to the value of 401. and thereof he as well for the king as for himself bringeth luit, &c.

debt for rent reserved by heir.

Declaration in Westmoreland, A. B. late, &c. was summoned to answer C. D. son and heir at law indentures, at of E. D. deceased, of a plea, that he render the suit of the to him 14 l. of lawful money of Great Britain, which he oweth to, and unjustly detaineth from him, &c and thereupon the said C. by W.R. his attorney faith, that whereas the said E. in his life-time, to wit, on the 24th day of January in the year of our Lord 1726, at Kirby K. aforesaid, by a certain indenture then and there made between the said E. by the name of —— (as in the lease) of the one part, and the said A. by the name of — (as in the lease) of the other, the other part of which indenture, sealed with the seal of the said A. the said C. bringeth here into court, the date whereof is the same day and year, demised, leased, set, and to farm let unto the said A. his executors, administrators and assigns, all his the faid

said E.'s burgage house, messuage and tenement, situate, standing and being at or near Strickland gate end (so recite the parcels as in the lease) to have and to hold the said burgage house, messuage and tenement, barn, &c. and all and singular other the premisses by the said indenture demised, with the appurtenances (except before excepted) unto the said A. his executors, administrators and assigns, from the 2d day of February next ensuing the day of the date of the said indenture, for and during and unto the full end and term of 21 years from thence next enfuing and fully to be complete and ended; yielding and paying therefore yearly and every year during the faid term, unto the faid E. his heirs and assigns, the yearly rent or sum of 141. of lawful money of Great Britain, at two days or times of payment in the year, that is to say, at Whitsuntide and St. Martin the bishop in winter, by even and equal portions; the first payment to begin and be made at Whitsuntide then next enluing, as by the said indenture may more fully appear; by virtue of which said demise the said A. entered into the said demised premisses with the appurtenances (except before excepted) and was possessed thereof for the term thereof demised as aforesaid, the reversion thereof, with the appurtenances, belonging to the said E. his heirs and assigns; and being so possessed, the reversion thereof belonging as aforesaid to the said E. afterwards, to wit, the first day of April in the year of our Lord 1733, at K. aforesaid died O 0 4

died seised of such his estate of and in the said reversion, at whose death the said reversion, with the appurtenances, descended to the said C, as son and heir of the said E, whereby the faid E, became, and was feised of and in the said reversion with the appurtenances; and being so seised, and the said A. being so possessed of the said demised premisses with the appurtenances, 14% of the rent aforelaid, for one year ending at the feast of St Martin the bishop in the winter, in the year of our Lord 1734, to the said C, from the said A. became in arrear, and still remain unpaid, whereby an action hath accrued to the said C. to demand and have of the said A. the said 141. yet the said A. (although often requested) hath not yet paid the said 141. or any part thereof, to the said C. but to pay the same to him hitherto altogether hath refused, and still doth refuse, to the damage of the said C. 10% and thereof he bringeth suit, Eç.

the assignee of she lessee.

Declaration in Middlesex, to wit, J. L. late of Tottendebt for rent ham in the county of Middlesex, esq; assignee reserved by in- of J. S. esq; otherwise lately called J. S. sedenture against nior, of London, esq; was summoned to answer G. B. esq; in a plea, that he sender to him 61. 12s. 6d. which he oweth to him and unjustly detaineth, Gc. and whereupon the said G, by E. B. his attorney saith, that whereas by a certain indenture made at Tottenbem aforesaid, on the 17th day of May in the year 1716, between the said G. by the name of G. B. of Leatherhead in the county

county of Surrey, esq; of the one part, and the said J. S. by the name of J. S. senior, of London, esq; of the other part, the counterpart of which indenture sealed with the seal sof the said J. the said G. bringeth here into court, bearing date the same day and year, for the consideration therein mentioned he the faid G. did demise, grant and to farm let unto the said J. S. all that mansion-house, messuage, tenement, washhouses, stables, out-houses, yards, gardens, orchards, fish-pond or canal, with the appurtenances, which were then lately in the tenure or occupation of R.C. his under tenants or assigns; and which said mansionhouse and premisses abutted eastward on a field called the Royal Field, belonging to T. M. of London, goldsmith, westward on Tottenham high road, northward on a barn and orchard belonging to the faid G. B. in the tenure or occupation of the faid W. B. and southward on a garden belonging to the said G. B. in the tenure or occupation of the faid W.B. and also that little messuage and tenement built upon the wall belonging to the faid mansion-house, fronting also upon the high road of Tottenham aforesaid, then also in the tenure or occupation of the faid W. B. his under-tenants or assigns, together with all ways, easements, watercourses, commodities and appurtenances whatloever to the said mansion-house, messuages or tenements belonging or in any wise appertaining; all which faid premisses are situate and being in the parish of Tottenham High Cross in the

county of Middlesex; to have and to hold the faid mansion-house, messuages or tenements, wash-houses, stables, out-houses, yards, gardens, orchards, fish-pond or canal, and all other the premisses, with their and every of their appurtenances above by the faid indenture demised and granted unto the said J. his executors, administrators and assigns, from the feast-day of the Annunciation of the blessed virgin Mary last past before the date of the said indenture, for and during and unto the full end and term of 21 years from thence next enfuing and fully to be complete and ended; yielding and paying therefore yearly and every year during the faid term unto the said G. his heirs and assigns, the yearly rent or sum of 26%, 10s, of lawful money of Great Britain, on the four feast-days or terms of payment in the year most usual, that is to say, at the feast of the Nativity of St. John the baptist, St. Michael the archangel, the birth of our Lord Christ, and the Annunciation of the blessed virgin Mary, by even and equal portions; the first payment thereof to begin and to be made upon the feast-day of St. John the baptist next ensuing the date of the said indenture, as by the said indenture more fully appeareth; by virtue of which demise the said J. entered into the said demised premisses with the appurtenances, and was thereof possessed; and being so possessed thereof, afterwards, to wit, on the 1st day of April in the year of our Lord 1734, all the said estate, right, title, interest and term of years of the said J. then

to come and unexpired, of and in the said demised premisses with the appurtenances, by an allignment came to the faid J. L. by virtue of which assignment the said J. L. entered into the said demised premisses with the appurtenances, and was possessed thereof until the expiration of the said term of 2 t years, to wit, until and upon the feast of the Annunciation of the blessed virgin Mary in the year of our Lord 1737, and 61. 125. 6d. of the rent aforesaid, for the last quarter of a year of the said term on that feast became due and in arrear from the said J. L. to the faid G. by reason of which an action accrued to the said G. to demand and have of the said J. L. the said 61. 12s. 6d. Nevertheless the said J. L. (although often requested) hath not rendered to the said G. the said 6 l. 12s. 6d. or any part thereof; but hath hitherto wholly denied, and still doth deny to render the same to the said G. whereby the faid G. faith that he is injured, and hath damage to the value of 40 s. and thereof he bringeth suit, &c.

And the said J. L. by J. D. his attorney Plea, cometh and defendeth the force and injury, Nothing in when, &c. and as to the sum of 6l. 12s. 6d. arrear. which the said G. above by his said declaration supposeth to have been become due and in arrear for the rent of the said premisses from the said J. L. to the said G. upon the feast of the Annunciation of the blessed virgin Mary in the year of our Lord 1737, for the last quarter of a year of the said term on that feast in the said declaration mentioned,

the said J. L saith that no part thereof is due and in arrear to the said G. as the said G. by his said declaration above supposeth; and of this he putteth himself upon the country, Gr.

Decl. against a hundred on the statute of bue and cry. chester, 13 C. i.

Staffordshire, to wit, The inhabitants of the hundred of Pirebill in the county of Stafford were attached to answer as well to our Stat. of Win-fovereign lord the now king as to H.W. who as well for the same lord the king as for Edw. 1 st. 2. himself in this behalf sueth of a plea, wherefore whereas in a certain statute made in the parliament of the sovereign lord Edward I. late king of England, held at Westminster in the 13th year of his reign, (amongst other things) it is ordained, Forasmuch as from day to day robberies, murders, burnings of houses and theft were then more often used than they had been theretofore, and felons could not be attainted by the oaths of jurors, who had rather suffer strangers to be robbed, and to pass without pain, than to indict the offenders, great part of whom were people of the same country; or at least if the offenders were of another country, the receivers were of places near, and they did the same because an oath was not given unto jurors of the same country where such felonies were done; and as to the restitution of damages before that time, no pain had been limited for their concealment and laches; the said lord the late king, for to abate the power of felons, had established a pain in that case, so that from thenceforth, for fear of the pain

more than for fear of any oath, they should not spare any, nor conceal any felonies. And the said lord the late king did command, that cries should be solemnly made in all counties, hundreds, markets, fairs, and all other places where great resort of people was, so that none should excuse himself by ignorance, that from thencefore every country should be so well kept, that immediately, upon such robberies and felonies committed, fresh suit should be made from town to town, and from country to country; likewise when need is required, inquest should be made in towns by him that was lord of the town, and after in the hundred and in the franchise, and in the county, and sometimes in two or three or four counties, in case when felonies should be committed, in the marches of shires, so that the offenders might be attainted; and if the country would not answer for the bodies of such manner of offenders, the pain should be such, that every country, (that is to fay) the people dwelling in the country, should be answerable for the robberies done, and all the damages, so that the whole hundred where the robberies should be done, with the franchises, being within the precinct of the same hundred, should be answerable for the robberies so done; and if the robbery should be done in the division of two hundreds, both the hundreds, and the franchises within them, should be answerable; and after that the felony or robbery was done, the countries should have no longer space than 40 days, within which

it should behove them to agree for the rob. bery or offence, or else that they should an. swer for the bodies of the offenders, as is plainly contained in the aforesaid statute; And for that whereas two certain robbers to the said H. W. unknown, on the 5th day of May in the 10th year of the reign of the said lord the now king, in the king's high way within the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid in the said county of Stafford, to wit, at a certain place near Weston, commonly called Wodden Lane, between Weston and Amerion in the parish of Weston in the said county of Stafford, within the hundred of Pirebill aforefaid in the county of Stafford aforesaid, with force and arms upon him the said Henry made an assault, and 25% in money of lawful money of Great Britain, of the proper monies of him the faid H. W. and also a leather purse value 6d. of like lawful money of Great Britain, of the proper goods and chattels of him the faid H.W. then and there found, of and from him the said H.W. did feloniously take and rob and carry away, against the said peace of our said lord the Stat. 27 Eliz, now king; and the said H. W. immediately after the felony and robbery aforesaid done at Wodden Lane aforesaid in the said parish of Weston, within the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid in the county aforesaid, being near the said place where the same felony and robbery so as aforesaid was committed, did make hue and cry of the aforesaid felony and robbery upon him made, and then and there did give notice to the inhabitants of the parish

C. 13.

sish of Weston aforesaid of the same selony and robbery; and did also, with as much convenient speed as might be after the aforesaid robbery on him committed as aforesaid. give notice thereof to T. W. then a constable of the said parish of Weston aforesaid in the faid hundred of Pirebill, being near unto the place where the said robbery was committed as aforesaid, and describe in the aforesaid notice to the aforesaid constable, so far as the nature and circumstances of the case did admit, the said felons, and the time and place of the aforesaid robbery; and did also, within the space of 20 days next after the aforesaid robbery committed, cause public notice to be given thereof in the London Gazette, and did therein likewise describe, so far as the nature and circumstances of the faid case did admit, the said felons, and the time and place of the aforesaid robbery, together with the said money, goods and effects whereof he the said H. was robbed as aforesaid, and afterwards did, and before the suing forth of the original writ of him the said H. to wit, on the 15th of October in the 11th year of the reign of the said lord the now king, he the said H. went before the sheriff of the county of Stafferd aforelaid, and did then before the said sheriff enter into a bond to T. B. and J. G. then being high constables of the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid, in the penal sum of 100% with two sufficient sureties, to wit, W. L. of Newport in the county of Salop, taylor, and G. J. of the same place, carpenter, approved by the said she-

riff, with condition for securing to the said high constables the due payment of their costs, after the same should be taxed by the proper officer, in case that he the said H.W.should happen to be nonsuited, or should discontinue his action to be brought against the said inhabitants of the hundred of Pirehill aforesaid, on account of the aforesaid robbery; or in case that judgment should be given against him the said H. W. on demurrer, or that verdict should be given against him therein, according to the form and di-Stat. 8 Geo. rections of the statute in such case lately made and provided; and after the felony and robbery aforesaid done, and within 20 days next before the day of the suing forth of the said original writ of him the said H. W. the said H.W. before T.W. esq; then one of the justices of our said lord the now king assigned to keep the peace of our faid lord the now king, in and for the county of Stafford aforefaid, then inhabiting at Haywood in the parish of Colwick, within the hundred of Pire-

bill aforesaid in the county of Stafford afore-

said, was examined upon his corporal oath,

case made and provided, and the said H.W.

upon his said oath before the said T. W. then

and there said, that he did not know the par-

ties who had committed the aforesaid rob-

bery, or either of them; and after the felo-

ny and robbery upon him made as afore-

faid, and after the said public notice given

of the aforesaid robbery in the aforesaid Lon-

z. c. 16.

Stat. 27 Eliz. according to the form of the statute in that

don Gazette, 40 days of the suing forth of the

the said original writ of the said H. were past; yet the said inhabitants of the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid in the county of Stafford aforesaid, have not hitherto made to the said H. W. satisfaction for the robbery and damages aforesaid, nor the bodies of the felons and malefactors aforesaid, nor the bodies of either of them, have taken, nor for the bodies of them, nor for the bodies of either of them hitherto have answered, but the same felons and malefactors have permitted to escape, in contempt of the said lord the now king, and to the great damage of him the said H. W. and against the said form of the statute aforesaid in the aforesaid 13th year of the reign of the said late lord king Edward I. in such case made and provided; and whereupon the said H. who as well for the faid lord the now king as for himself in this behalf sues, by R.D. his attorney complaineth, that certain robbers, to wit, two men, to the same H. W. unknown, on the aforesaid 5th day of May in the aforesaid 10th year of the reign of the said lord the now king, in the king's high way within the hundred of Pirehill aforesaid in the county of Stafford aforesaid, to wit, at a certain place near Weston aforesaid, commonly called Wodden Lane, between Weston and Amerton in the parish of Weston in the said county of Stafford, within the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid in the county of Stafford aforesaid, with force and arms, to wit, with pistols, swords, staves and knives, in and upon him the aforesaid H. did make an assault, and 25 l. Vol. I.

in money of lawful money of Great Britain, of the proper monies of him the faid H.W.and also a leather purse value 6 d. of like lawful money of Great Britain, of the proper goods and chattels of him the faid H. W. then and there found, of and from the faid H. did feloniously take and 10b and carry away, against the peace of our said lord the now king, &c. And the said H. immediately after the felony and robbery aforesaid done, to wit, on the said 5th day of May in the 10th year of the reign of the said lord the now king aforesaid, at Wodden Lane aforesaid in the said parish of Weston within the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid in the county aforesaid, which said place called Wodden Lane is, and then was near the faid place where the faid felony and robbery fo as aforefaid was committed, did make hue and cry of the felony and robbery aforesaid upon him made, and then and there give notice to the inhabitants of the said parish of Weston of the same felony and robbery; and did also, with so much convenient speed as might be after the aforesaid robbery on him committed as aforesaid, that is to say, on the said 6th day of May in the 10th year aforesaid, give notice thereof to T. W, then a constable of the said parish of Weston aforesaid in the said hundred of Pirebill, being near unto the place where the said robbery was committed as aforesaid; and did describe in the aforesaid notice to the aforesaid constable, so far as the nature and circumstances of the case did admit, the faid felons and the time and place

## in the Court of Common Pleas.

of the aforesaid robbery; and did also, within the space of 20 days next after the aforesaid robbery committed, cause public notice to be given thereof in the London Gazette, and did therein likewise describe, so far as the nature and circumstances of the said case did admit, the faid felons and the time and place of the aforesaid robbery, together with the said money, goods and effects whereof he the said H, was robbed as aforesaid, and afterwards, and before the fuing forth of the original writ of him the said H. to wit, on the 15th day of October in the 11th year of the reign of the said lord the now king abovesaid, he the said H. went before the sheriff of the county of Stafford aforesaid, and did then before the same sheriff enter into a bond unto T. B. and  $\mathcal{F}$ . C. high constables of the hundred of Pirekill aforesaid in the penal fum of 100% with two sufficient sureties, to wit, W. L. of Newport in the county of Salop, taylor, and  $G.\mathcal{F}$ . of the same place, carpenter, approved by the said sheriff, with the condition for securing to the faid high constables the due payment of their costs, after the same should be taxed by the proper officer, in case that he the said H. W. should happen to be nonsuited, or should discontinue his action to be brought against the said inhabitants of the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid on account of the aforesaid robbery, or in case that judgment should be given against him the said H.W. on demurrer, or that a verdict should be given against him therein, according to the form and di-P p 2 rection

rection of the statute in such case lately made and provided; and after the felony and robbery aforesaid done, and within 20 days next before the day of the suing forth of the original writ of him the said H.W. to wit, on the aforesaid 15th day of Ostober in the 11th year of the reign of our said lord the now king abovefaid, he the faid H.W. before the faid T. W. esq; then one of the justices of the said lord the now king, assigned to keep the peace of the same lord the now king in and for the county of Stafford aforesaid, then inhabiting at Haywood in the parish of Colwick within the hundred of Pirebill aforesaid in the county of Stafford aforesaid, was examined upon his corporal oath, according to the form of the statute in that case made and provided; and the said W. H. upon his said oath then and there before the said T.W. faid that he did not know the parties who had committed the aforesaid robbery, or either of them, and after the felony and robbery upon him made as aforesaid, and after the said public notice given of the aforesaid robbery in the aforesaid London Gazette, 40 days of the day of suing forth of the said original writ of the said H. were past; Yet the said inhabitants of the hundred of Pirebill aforefaid in the county of Stafford aforesaid have not hitherto made to the said H. W. satisfaction for the robbery and damages aforesaid, nor the bodies of the said felons and maléfactors aforesaid, nor the bodies of either of them have taken, nor for the bodies of them, nor for the bodies of either of them hitherto

hitherto have answered, but the same felons and malefactors have permitted to escape, in contempt of the said lord the now king, and to the great damage of him the said H.W. against the form of the statute aforesaid, in Vide stat. 29 the aforesaid 13th year of the reign of the Geo. 2 c. 24. faid late lord king Edward the first in such 46. case made and provided; whereupon the faid H. W. who as well for the faid lord the now king as for himself sueth, saith that he is injured, and hath damage to the value of 401. and thereof he bringeth suit, &c.

And the faid inhabitants of the hundred plea, of Pirebill by H. D. their attorney come Not guilty. and defend the force and injury, when, &c. and fay, that they are no wife guilty of the premisses above laid to their charge, as the said H. W. who as well, &c. above complaineth against them: And of this they put themselves upon the country; and the said H. W. who as well, &c. doth likewise the same: And thereupon the same H.W. saith, Isue. that the inhabitants in the hundred of Pire-Venire of the bill aforesaid, where the said robbery was next adjacent committed, are parties defendants, against bundred. whom the said H.W. who as well,  $\mathfrak{Sc}$ . above in form aforesaid complaineth, and for that cause craveth the writ of the lord the king to be directed to the sheriff of the county aforesaid, to cause to come twelve,  $\mathfrak{C}c$ . of the vicinity of the hundred of Offlow in the county aforesaid, which said hundred of Offlow is the next hundred in the same county adjacent to the aforesaid hundred of Pirebill, to try the issue aforesaid above in form afore-P p 3

aforesaid joined: And because the said inhar bitants in the aforesaid hundred of Pirehill do not deny this, it is granted to him; therefore the sheriff is commanded, that he cause to come here in eight days of the Purification of the bleffed virgin Mary twelve, &c. of the vicinity of the hundred of Offlow, by whom, &c. and who neither, &c. to recognize, &c. because as well, &c.

Debt. Qui tam for keeping a greyhound and kil-

Kent, to wit, E.L. late, &c. was summoned to answer to the poor of the said parish of E, in the county aforesaid, and to Sir ling a hare not J. S. baronet, who sueth in this behalf as being qualified. well for himself as for the said poor of the said parish of E, aforesaid of a plea, that he render to the said poor and the said J. S. who fueth as aforefaid, so l. of lawful money of Great Britain, which he oweth to, and unjustly detaineth from them, &c. And thereupon the said Sir J. who sueth as aforefaid by H. C. his attorney faith, that the faid E. on the 13th day of March in the year of our Lord 1736, at and in the parish of E, aforesaid did keep a certain greyhound for the destruction of the game of this kingdom, he the faid E. then not being a person qualified by the laws of this ream to keep a greyhound for the destruction of the game, against the form of the statute in such case made and provided, whereby, and by force of the statute in such case lately made and provided, an action hath accrued to the faid poor of the parish aforesaid, and to the said Sir J. who sueth as aforesaid, to demand and

and have of the said E. for his said offence 51, parcel of the said 101. and the said Sir 7. who sueth as aforesaid, further saith, that the said E. on the 30th day of March in the year aforesaid in the said parish of E, in the county aforesaid, did with a certain greyhound kill one hare, he the said E. then not being a person qualified to kill game, against the form of the statute in such case lately made and provided, whereby, and by force of the statute in such case lately made and provided, an action hath accrued to the said poor of the parish aforesaid, and to the said Sir J. who sueth as aforesaid, to demand and have of the said E. for his said last mentioned offence 5l. residue of the said 10l. Yet the said E. (although often requested) hath not yet paid the said 101. or any part thereof, to the said poor and the said Sir J. who sueth as aforelaid, or to either of them; but he to pay the same to the said poor and the said Sir J. who sueth as aforesaid, hitherto wholly hath refused and still doth refuse to the said Sir J. who sueth as aforesaid, his damage of 101. and thereof as well for the said poor as for himself he bringeth suit, છેં€.

And the faid E. L. by R. P. his attorney Plea, cometh and defendeth the wrong and injury, Nil debet. when, &c. and faith that he doth not owe to the faid Sir J. who as well, &c. the faid fum of 101. or any part thereof, in manner and form as the faid Sir J. who as well, &c. above, thereof complaineth against him:

And of this he putteth himself upon the Pp4 coun-

## The Attorney's Practice

country; and the said Sir J. who sueth as aforesaid, doth likewise the same; therefore, &c.

Judgment for not entering

And the faid Sir J. S. although folemnly called came not, nor hath entered his faid issue, nor hath further prosecuted his writ: Therefore he and his pledges of prosecution are thereupon amerced, &c. and the names of the pledges of the faid Sir J. are, &c. and that the said E. L. depart without day, &c. It is also considered, that the said E. do recover against the aforesaid Sir J. his damages by reason of the premisses, to 31. 16s. 8 d. to the said E. by the discretion of the justices here adjudged at his request for his costs and charges in this behalf sustained, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided.

Declaration for shooting a greyhound.

Leicestershire, to wit, H. R. late, &c. was attached to answer B. D. in a plea, wherefore with force and arms a certain greyhound bitch, and a certain other bitch of the said B. of the price of rol. at M. aforesaid in the county aforesaid, with a gun he shot at and killed, whereby the said B. not only lost the said bitches, but also certain young whelps, to wit, five young whelps of the laid greyhound birch, and certain young whelps, to wit, five young whelps of the said other bitch, which died for want of the said bitches to suckle them, to wit, at M. aforesaid; and a certain other greyhound bitch, and a certain other bitch of the said B. lately found at M. aforesaid, of the price of

101. he shot at, hit, struck, smote and wounded, by means whereof the last mentioned two bitches afterwards at M. aforesaid died, whereby the said B. not only lost the said two last mentioned bitches, but also certain other young whelps, to wit, five other young whelps of the said last mentioned greyhound bitch; and certain other young whelps, to wit, five young whelps of the other of the two last mentioned bitches, which afterwards died for want of the two last mentioned bitches to suckle them, to wit, at M. aforesaid; and did other wrongs to the said B. to the great damage of the said B. and against the peace of our sovereign lord the king that now is, &c. And whereupon the faid B. by  $\mathcal{F}$ . B. his attorney complaineth, that the said H. on the 15th day of Fanuary in the year of our Lord 1736, with force and arms, &c. a certain greyhound bitch, and a certain other bitch of the said B. of the price of 101. then found at M. aforesaid with a gun he shot at and killed, whereby the said B. not only lost the said bitches, but also certain young whelps, to wit, five young whelps of the faid greyhound bitch; and certain young whelps, to wit, five young whelps of the other of the said bitches, which afterwards, to wit, the same day and year at M. aforesaid died for want of the said bitches to fuckle them; and a certain other greyhound bitch, and a certain other bitch of the said B. then found at M. aforesaid of the price of 10 l. shot at, hit, struck, smote and wounded; by means whereof the last mentioned

tioned two bitches afterwards, to wit, the same day and year at M. aforesaid died. whereby the said B. not only lost the two last mentioned bitches, but also certain other young whelps, to wit, five young whelps of the last mentioned greyhound bitch; and certain other young whelps, to wit, five young whelps of the other of the two last mentioned bitches, which afterwards, to wit, the same day and year died for want of the two last mentioned bitches to suckle them, to wit, at M. aforesaid, and did other wrongs to the said B. to the great damage of the said B, and against the peace of our faid sovereign lord the king that now is, whereby the faid B. faith that he is injured and damnified, to the value of 10 l. and thereof he bringeth fuit, &c.

Plea. of a park.

And the aforesaid H. by S. S. his attorney Defendant ju- cometh and defendeth the force and injury, And as to the coming with force and arms, and the whole trespass aforefaid above supposed to be done, except the shooting at, and killing of the said greyhound bitch in the said declaration first mentioned, saith that he is not guilty: And of this he putteth himself upon the country; and the faid B. likewise, &c. And as to the shooting at, and killing of the said greyhound bitch in the said declaration first mentioned above supposed to be done, the aforefaid H. faith, that the faid B. ought not to have his aforesaid action thereof against him, because he suith, that Sir W. D. bart. long before

First iffue.

before the said time, when, &c. and at the sime time, when, &c. was, and still is possessed of and in a certain antient park called B. park in M. aforesaid; in which said park, long before the faid time, when, &c. and at the said time, when, &c. were great numbers of deer; of which park the aforesaid H. before the said time when, &c. and at the said time when, &c. was keeper, and had the care and custody thereof. And the said Sir W. being so as aforesaid possessed of the said park, the said greyhound bitch at diverse times before the said time, when, &c. was used to haunt the said park, and to hunt, chase and drive the said deer, in order to bite, wound and kill them, to the great hurt and damage of the said deer; and at the same time, when, &c. was in the aforefaid park for the purpose aforesaid; whereupon the aforesaid H. as keeper and servant of the aforesaid Sir W, and by his command, at the said time, when, &c. in the said park, for the preservation of the said deer, there did shoot at, and kill the said greyhound bitch there, as it was lawful for him to do, which is the same shooting at and killing of the said greyhound bitch in the said declaration first mentioned, whereof the said B. doth above in that behalf complain against him: and this he is ready to verify: Whereupon he prayeth judgment, if the said B. ought to have his aforesaid action thereof against him, Gc.

And the said T.K. by C.G. his attorney Plea to a procometh and defendeth the force and injury, bibition. when,

First issue.

when, &c. and saith, that he did not prosecute or follow his said suit in the said spiritual court against the said R. R. against the prohibition of our faid lord the king to him directed and delivered in manner and form as the faid R. who fueth as well for the king as for himself, by his writ and declaration aforesaid hath above supposed: And of this he putteth himself upon the country; and the said R. who as well, &c. likewise, &c. And for the obtaining the writ of our lord the king of consultation in this behalf, he the said T. saith, that long before the prosecution of the said suit in the said spiritual court against the said R, he the said T, was and now is seised of a certain antient messuage with the appurtenances, in Park-Lane in Towcester aforesaid, in his demesne as of fee, and that the said pew in the said church of Towcester in the said declaration mentioned, was and is an antient pew, without the addition of any feat or form in the said church within the memory of man taken in or made parcel of the said pew; and that he the faid T. and all those whose estate he hath in the said messuage with the appurtenances, and their tenants thereof, from the time whereof the memory of man is not to the contrary, have and hath sustained, amended and repaired the said pew as often as need required, at his and their own proper costs, and by reason thereof from the time aforesaid he and they, and such other person and persons as he and they hath and have from time to time licensed to sit there-

## in the Court of Common Pleas.

in, hath and have used and been accustomed to sit therein to hear divine service and preaching the word of God in the said church as in a pew belonging to the said messuage with the appurtenances, so that no other person from the time aforesaid in time of divine service and preaching the word of God in the said church, hath had any seat or place in the said pew without the licence or against the will of the said T. or of those whose estate he hath in the said messuage with the appurtenances, or of his or their tenants thereof for the time being; neither could or ought such other person to sit therein, otherwile than by such licence as aforesaid. And the said T. saith, that he being so seised of, and dwelling in the said messuage with the appurtenances, the faid R, who as well,  $\mathcal{C}c$ . before the said prosecution in the said spiritual court, to wit, on the first day of April in the year of our Lord 1733, in time of divine service in the said church, without the licence and against the will of the said  $T_{\bullet}$ did intrude himself into the said pew, and disturbed him the said T. and his family and friends then and there being by and with the licence of him the said T. wherefore he the laid T. for remedy in that behalf, before the prohibition of our said lord the king to the contrary thereof to him directed was delivered, did institute his said suit in the said spiritual court against the said R. as it was lawful for him to do; without that, that he the said R, who as well,  $\mathfrak{C}_{c}$ , and all those whose estate he had and hath in the said antient

tient messuage in the said declaration mentioned to be then, or late in the tenure of occupation of L. R. with the appurtenances, from time beyond the memory of man have at their own costs repaired one seat or form in the said church lately taken in, and made parcel of a pew in the said church, and therefore have had and used, for themselves and family inhabiting in the said messuage, the sole and separate use of the said seat or form for the hearing and attending of divine fervice in the faid church in manner and form as the faid R, who as well,  $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ , by his faid declaration hath supposed: And this the said T. is ready to verify, with this also, that the said T. will verify, that the pew in the said declaration mentioned, and the pew in the plea of him the said T. beforementioned, is one and the same pew, and not different: Wherefore he prayeth judgment, and the writ of our said lord the king of consultation in this behalf to be granted unto him.

prohibition.

Replication in And the said R. R. saith, that the said T. K. ought not, for the reason by him alledged, to have his faid majesty's writ of consultation in this behalf, because he saith, as before, that he the faid R, who as well, &c. and all those whose estate he had and hath in the said ancient messuage in the said declaration mentioned to be then or late in the tenure or occupation of L.R. with the appurtenances, from time beyond the memory of man have at their own costs repaired

## in the Court of Common Pleas.

paired one seat or form in the said church lately taken in and made parcel of a pew in the said church, and therefore have had and used, for themselves and family inhabiting in the said messuage, the sole and separate use of the said seat or form for the hearing and attending of divine service in the said church, in manner and form as the said R. who as well, &c. by his said declaration hath alledged: And this he prayeth may be inquirred of by the country; and the said F. K. doth the same: Therefore as well to try the said issue, as the aforesaid other issue between the said parties above joined, the sherisf is commanded, &c. Vide antea fol.

And the said H. by G. S. his attorney Plea to a bond cometh and defendeth the force and injury, that it was when, &c. and prayeth the hearing of the given for money laid writing obligatory, and it is read to him in these words, to wit, Know all men, &c. [the obligation] and he prays also the hearing of the condition of the faid writing obligatory, and it is read to him in thele words, to wit, [bere enter the condition] which being read and heard, he the said H. saith, Stat. 16 Car. that he by virtue of the said writing obliga- 2, c. 7. tory ought not to be charged with the faid debt, because he saith, that after the 29th day of September in the year of our Lord 1664, and before the making the said writing obligatory, to wit, on the said first day of July in the said year of our Lord 1733, at Westminster aforesaid, he the said H. played with the said S. at a certain play with dice called Hazard, for diverse sums of money exceeding the sum of rool. upon tick and credit, and not for ready money; and that he the said H. so playing with the said S. at the said play, then and there at one and the same time and meeting lost upon credit to and with the said S. in the whole a great sum of money, exceeding the sum of 100 l. to wit, the sum of 500 l. whereof no part was then paid by the said H. And the said H. afterwards thereupon, to wit, on the said first day of July in the year of our Lord 1733, aforesaid at Westminster aforesaid, made and delivered the aforesaid writing obligatory with the aforesaid condition thereto subscribed for the securing the payment of the faid 500 l. by him the faid H. so lost; and by the said S. so as aforesaid at the said play won of the faid H, at one and the same time and meeting, upon credit; whereby by force of the statute in such case made and provided the said writing obligatory wholly became and is void, and of no effect in law: And this he is ready to verify: Wherefore he prayeth judgment, whether he ought to be charged with the said debt by virtue of the said writing obligatory, &c.

Replication.

And the said S. saith, that by reason of any thing above by the said H. in pleading alledged, he ought not to be barred from having his said action against him, because he saith, that the said bond was not given for securing the payment of money won at the said play by the said S. of the said H. as the said H. hath above pleaded: And this he prayeth may be inquired of by the coun-